



The Effectiveness of Mobile Learning in Remote and Rural Areas

Erna Wahyui¹, Omar Khan², Amir Raza³

¹Universitas Borneo Tarakan, Indonesia

E-mail: raihanrhanian@gmail.com

²Kabul University, Afganistan

E-mail: khanomar@gmail.com

³Herat University, Afganistan

E-mail: amirraza@gmail.com

Corresponding author: raihanrhanian@gmail.com

Abstract— Access to quality education in remote and rural areas remains a global challenge due to geographical isolation, lack of infrastructure, and limited resources. Mobile learning (m-learning) has emerged as a potential solution to bridge this gap, offering flexible and accessible education through mobile devices. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of mobile learning in remote and rural areas, focusing on its impact on student engagement, learning outcomes, and the challenges associated with its implementation. A mixed-methods research design was employed, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews with students and teachers from rural schools that have adopted mobile learning platforms. The study involved 250 students and 50 teachers across three regions. Quantitative data was analyzed to assess learning outcomes, while qualitative data provided insights into the user experience and challenges faced in implementing m-learning. The findings reveal that mobile learning significantly improves student engagement, with 70% of students reporting increased motivation to learn due to the flexibility and accessibility of m-learning. However, technical challenges such as poor internet connectivity and limited access to devices were noted as barriers to full adoption. Despite these challenges, 65% of teachers reported positive changes in student performance and participation. In conclusion, mobile learning offers a promising solution for enhancing education in remote and rural areas. While it significantly improves engagement and learning outcomes, addressing the technical and infrastructural barriers is crucial for maximizing its potential. The study suggests that with proper investment in infrastructure, m-learning can be an effective tool for bridging educational disparities in underserved regions.

Keywords: Mobile Learning, Remote Areas, Rural Education

*Manuscript received 01 Dec. 2024; revised 02 Dec. 2024; accepted 10 Dec. 2024. Date of publication 17 Dec. 2024.
Journal International Inspire Education Technology (JIJET) is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License*



How to cite: Wahyuni, E., Khan, O & Raza, A. (2024). The Effectiveness of Mobile Learning in Remote and Rural Areas. *Journal International Inspire Education Technology (JIJET)*, 3(3), 253-264. <https://doi.org/10.55849/jiiet.v3i3.720>

Published by: Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Al-Hikmah Pariangan Batusangkar

I. INTRODUCTION

According Mobile learning (m-learning) has become an increasingly viable solution for delivering education in areas where traditional infrastructure is lacking (D'Arcy, 2019). As

mobile devices become more affordable and widespread, they offer new opportunities for students and educators in remote and rural areas to access educational resources that were previously out of reach (Brix, 2019a). The portability and flexibility of mobile devices allow

learners to engage with course materials anytime and anywhere, making m-learning a promising tool for education in underserved regions (Moos et al., 2022).

Research shows that m-learning can improve access to education by bypassing some of the common barriers in rural areas, such as the shortage of qualified teachers and the lack of physical school facilities (Inouye & McAlpine, 2019). Mobile devices, paired with educational apps and platforms, provide students with access to a wide range of learning materials, including videos, interactive exercises, and textbooks (Abi-Farraj et al., 2020). This approach has proven effective in expanding learning opportunities for students who might otherwise be excluded from formal education systems due to geographical constraints (Brix, 2019b).

Studies have indicated that m-learning can enhance student engagement, especially in rural areas where traditional classroom settings may not be as interactive or stimulating (Castelló et al., 2021). The use of multimedia and interactive tools available on mobile platforms allows students to take a more active role in their learning, which increases motivation and improves retention of information (B. J. Kim et al., 2021). In some cases, students who have access to m-learning platforms outperform their peers in more conventional educational settings, demonstrating the potential of this technology to elevate learning outcomes (Muratore et al., 2022).

M-learning also supports personalized learning experiences, enabling students to progress at their own pace (Lee et al., 2022). In rural areas, where classroom sizes can be large and teachers may struggle to provide individualized attention, mobile learning offers a solution by allowing students to revisit lessons, access additional resources, and work through challenges independently (Ydesen & Grek, 2020). This personalized approach helps bridge the gap between students with varying learning needs and

abilities, offering a more inclusive educational experience (Gomez-Gonzalez et al., 2020).

The global adoption of mobile technologies has been particularly transformative in areas where internet connectivity is improving (Peronard & Brix, 2019). As mobile networks expand into rural regions, more students are gaining access to digital educational content through their phones or tablets (Muratore et al., 2021). This access has the potential to reduce the educational divide between urban and rural populations, providing rural students with the same opportunities to learn and grow as their urban counterparts (Barone et al., 2019). The integration of mobile learning into educational strategies is becoming a key component of global efforts to achieve educational equity (Morgan et al., 2021).

Despite its potential, the effectiveness of m-learning in remote and rural areas is still dependent on various factors, including the availability of reliable internet, the quality of educational content, and the level of digital literacy among students and teachers (Gomez-Gonzalez et al., 2019). While mobile devices offer a cost-effective solution, challenges such as poor connectivity, device shortages, and a lack of training for teachers can limit the impact of m-learning in these regions (McAlpine et al., 2020). Further research is needed to explore how these challenges can be addressed to fully harness the potential of mobile learning in improving education in underserved areas (Andersen & Pitkänen, 2019).

While mobile learning has shown promise in improving access to education in remote and rural areas, significant gaps remain in understanding its full impact (E.-S. Kim & Chung, 2019). The current research largely focuses on the accessibility and engagement benefits of m-learning, but less attention has been given to long-term educational outcomes (Yeon et al., 2020). It is unclear whether students who rely primarily on mobile learning platforms

perform as well as those who have access to more traditional educational resources in the long run (Li et al., 2021). This gap highlights the need for further exploration of how sustained use of m-learning affects students' academic achievement over time (Jauhri et al., 2022).

Another area that remains underexplored is the role of infrastructure in determining the success of mobile learning in rural settings (Lutter et al., 2021). Studies often mention connectivity issues, but few delve into how inconsistent internet access or a lack of digital infrastructure impacts learning outcomes (Tanneberg et al., 2021). In many rural areas, students might have access to mobile devices, but without reliable internet or sufficient digital literacy, the effectiveness of these tools can be severely limited (Gittelsohn et al., 2018). Understanding how these infrastructural challenges affect the overall success of mobile learning is essential to maximizing its potential (D'Arcy, 2022).

The impact of mobile learning on teachers in remote areas is another overlooked aspect (Toft & Rüdiger, 2020). While much research focuses on the student experience, less is known about how educators adapt to and integrate mobile learning into their teaching practices (Bjelonic et al., 2023). Questions about whether teachers receive adequate training, how they perceive the shift to digital learning, and what challenges they face in this transition remain largely unanswered (Moon et al., 2019). Filling this gap is important to ensuring that teachers are not only equipped to use mobile learning tools but can also use them effectively to enhance student learning (Christensen et al., 2019).

There is also a need for more research into the social and cultural factors that influence the adoption and effectiveness of mobile learning in rural areas. Rural communities often have different values, traditions, and educational priorities than urban areas, which can impact how m-learning is received and utilized.

Understanding these social dynamics is crucial for designing mobile learning programs that are culturally relevant and responsive to the specific needs of remote and rural populations. Without this understanding, mobile learning initiatives may fail to achieve their intended outcomes.

Filling the gap in understanding the long-term effectiveness of mobile learning in remote and rural areas is crucial for ensuring that these platforms truly enhance educational outcomes. As access to traditional educational resources remains limited in these regions, mobile learning has the potential to bridge this gap and provide students with consistent, quality education. However, without clear evidence of its long-term impact on academic performance and skill development, it is difficult to fully gauge the effectiveness of mobile learning. Research is needed to determine whether m-learning can provide not just temporary solutions but sustainable improvements in education for these communities.

Understanding the role of infrastructure and digital literacy is essential for optimizing mobile learning in rural settings. While mobile devices offer accessibility, their potential is often hindered by poor connectivity and a lack of necessary resources to support continuous learning. Addressing these issues requires in-depth research into how infrastructural limitations affect the overall success of mobile learning initiatives. If these challenges are not properly understood and addressed, the gap between what mobile learning can offer and what students are able to achieve may continue to widen, especially in underserved regions.

Teacher adaptation to mobile learning tools also warrants further investigation to ensure that educators are not left behind in the digital transition. Teachers in rural areas face unique challenges, and without adequate support, they may struggle to effectively integrate mobile learning into their classrooms. By exploring the experiences and needs of teachers, we can

develop strategies to provide the right training and resources, enabling them to utilize m-learning tools in ways that genuinely enhance student learning. This research could lead to more effective implementation and ensure that both students and teachers benefit from the full potential of mobile learning.

II. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches to assess the effectiveness of mobile learning in remote and rural areas (Madsen & Munk, 2019). The quantitative component consists of surveys distributed to students and teachers to gather data on their experiences with mobile learning, focusing on engagement, accessibility, and academic performance (Hindhede & Larsen, 2019). The qualitative component involves interviews with teachers and school administrators to gain deeper insights into the challenges and benefits of implementing mobile learning in rural settings (Jensen et al., 2022). This combination of data aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of both the measurable outcomes and the contextual factors influencing mobile learning success (Baek et al., 2021).

The population for this study includes students and teachers from rural and remote areas across three regions, ensuring a diverse representation of geographic and socio-economic backgrounds (D'Arcy, 2020). A sample of 250 students and 50 teachers was selected using purposive sampling to target schools that have adopted mobile learning platforms as part of their curriculum (Liu et al., 2022). This sample size allows for robust statistical analysis while providing qualitative depth through interviews with educators who have firsthand experience in integrating mobile learning into their classrooms (Dam et al., 2022).

Data collection instruments include a structured survey for both students and teachers,

designed to assess the impact of mobile learning on student engagement, learning outcomes, and accessibility (Anand & Brix, 2022). The survey uses Likert-scale questions to measure participants' perceptions of the effectiveness of mobile learning, while open-ended questions capture qualitative data about specific challenges or successes (Lutter et al., 2021). Additionally, semi-structured interviews with teachers and administrators explore their perspectives on the integration of mobile learning and the infrastructural or training challenges they face (Koenuma et al., 2020).

The research procedures begin with obtaining ethical approval and consent from participating schools and individuals. Surveys are distributed electronically to students and teachers through mobile learning platforms, ensuring that participants have the necessary technology to complete the surveys. Interviews are conducted via video conferencing or in-person, depending on the availability of internet access in the region. Quantitative data from the surveys are analyzed using statistical software to identify trends and correlations, while qualitative data from the interviews are transcribed and analyzed thematically to uncover key themes related to the effectiveness and challenges of mobile learning in rural areas.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected from surveys of 250 students and 50 teachers reveal that 70% of students found mobile learning to be beneficial for their educational experience, particularly in increasing their engagement with learning materials. Among the teachers surveyed, 65% reported improvements in student participation and performance due to the use of mobile learning platforms. However, technical challenges such as unreliable internet access were noted by 50% of students, and 40% of teachers

highlighted the lack of adequate devices as a key barrier to fully implementing mobile learning.

Indicator	Percentage (%)
Students reporting increased engagement	70%
Teachers reporting improved participation	65%
Students facing internet challenges	50%
Teachers citing lack of devices	40%

The data also indicate that students who had access to more stable internet connections and modern devices performed better academically, with 60% of these students achieving higher scores in assessments compared to their peers in regions with poorer connectivity.

The positive correlation between mobile learning and student engagement demonstrates that m-learning platforms can significantly enhance educational experiences in rural areas. Students who reported higher engagement with mobile learning materials were more likely to complete assignments on time and actively participate in virtual discussions. This suggests that mobile learning provides a flexible, accessible way to involve students who may otherwise be disconnected from formal education due to geographical constraints.

Despite the potential benefits, the effectiveness of mobile learning is still hampered by infrastructural issues. Many students and teachers reported difficulties related to internet connectivity and access to up-to-date mobile devices. These challenges not only limit the scope of mobile learning but also create disparities in student performance, as learners in more remote areas struggle to access the same quality of education as their peers in better-connected regions.

Further analysis of the data revealed that mobile learning is particularly effective in supporting self-paced learning, with 75% of students expressing that they appreciated the

flexibility of accessing educational materials on their own time. Students in rural areas often face challenges attending regular classes due to transportation issues or agricultural work, and mobile learning allows them to study at times that fit their schedules. This flexibility has contributed to an increase in learning retention, with 60% of students reporting that mobile learning helped them better understand the content.

Teachers also noted improvements in student participation in discussions and collaborative assignments through mobile platforms. About 55% of teachers said that students who were previously less active in class were now more engaged through mobile learning tools, particularly with multimedia resources such as videos and interactive quizzes. These results suggest that mobile learning offers diverse methods for students to engage with material, catering to different learning styles.

A statistical analysis of the data using regression models reveals a significant positive relationship between access to mobile learning resources and student performance. The Pearson correlation coefficient ($r = 0.72$, $p < 0.05$) shows a strong positive relationship between stable internet access and academic performance, indicating that students with reliable connectivity are more likely to achieve higher grades. The analysis also highlights the importance of device quality, with a correlation ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.05$) between modern mobile devices and improved learning outcomes.

Variable	Correlation Coefficient (r)	p-value
Internet access vs academic performance	0.72	< 0.05
Device quality vs learning outcomes	0.65	< 0.05

The graphical representation below (Figure 1) illustrates the relationship between internet

connectivity and student performance, showing that students with better access to digital tools consistently performed better in assessments.

The relationship between internet access and student performance is one of the most significant findings of this research. Students with reliable access to mobile learning platforms were more engaged and performed better academically compared to those with limited connectivity. This suggests that mobile learning can be a highly effective tool for improving education in remote areas, but its success is heavily dependent on the availability of adequate infrastructure.

Another important relationship is the link between device quality and learning outcomes. Students who had access to modern mobile devices, such as smartphones and tablets, were able to navigate learning platforms more easily and engage with multimedia content, which contributed to better retention and understanding of the material. Teachers also reported that students with better devices were more likely to complete assignments on time and actively participate in online discussions.

A case study of a rural school in Region A provides deeper insights into the specific challenges and successes of mobile learning in remote areas. This school implemented a mobile learning program with limited resources but saw a 30% increase in student participation within the first six months. Teachers noted that students, who were previously disengaged due to long travel distances to school, became more active in their learning through mobile platforms. However, the school faced significant obstacles due to poor internet connectivity, which slowed down the adoption of mobile learning.

Another case study from Region B highlights a more successful implementation of mobile learning, where the local government invested in improving digital infrastructure. With reliable internet access and modern devices provided to students, this rural school saw a 50%

improvement in academic performance within the first year of the mobile learning program. Teachers in Region B also reported higher student engagement, particularly in interactive lessons involving multimedia content.

The case studies illustrate how mobile learning can transform educational experiences in remote and rural areas, but they also highlight the challenges that must be overcome to ensure its success. In Region A, where internet connectivity was inconsistent, the effectiveness of mobile learning was limited, with students often unable to access course materials in real time. This suggests that infrastructure improvements are essential for maximizing the benefits of mobile learning, as the lack of stable connectivity can hinder student engagement and performance.

In contrast, the success seen in Region B demonstrates the full potential of mobile learning when supported by adequate resources. The increase in academic performance and student participation in this region underscores the importance of investing in digital infrastructure and providing modern devices to students. These results confirm that mobile learning can significantly enhance educational outcomes in rural areas when the necessary tools and support systems are in place.

The findings of this study indicate that mobile learning is an effective tool for enhancing education in remote and rural areas, but its success is highly dependent on factors such as internet access and device quality. Students with reliable connectivity and access to modern devices showed improved engagement and academic performance, while those in areas with poor infrastructure struggled to benefit fully from mobile learning platforms. This suggests that addressing infrastructural challenges is crucial for maximizing the potential of mobile learning in underserved regions.

The data also emphasize the importance of providing support for both students and teachers in adopting mobile learning tools. While the

flexibility and accessibility of mobile learning can improve student engagement, challenges related to connectivity, device shortages, and digital literacy must be addressed to ensure that all students can benefit equally. These findings point to the need for continued investment in rural education to bridge the digital divide and provide high-quality learning opportunities for all.

The research demonstrates that mobile learning significantly improves student engagement and academic performance in remote and rural areas, provided that there is adequate access to infrastructure such as stable internet connections and modern mobile devices. Among the key findings, 70% of students reported increased engagement with learning materials, and 65% of teachers observed improvements in student participation. However, challenges like unreliable internet access and limited device availability were cited by 50% of students and 40% of teachers, respectively, as major barriers to fully utilizing mobile learning platforms.

The study also revealed that students who had access to stable internet and modern devices achieved better academic outcomes. A strong positive correlation ($r = 0.72$) was found between reliable connectivity and higher academic performance. The findings underscore the need for better infrastructure in rural areas to maximize the potential of mobile learning as a tool for education in underserved regions.

These findings align with previous studies that highlight the benefits of mobile learning in improving student engagement and educational access in rural areas. Similar research has shown that mobile devices can bridge gaps in education by providing flexible, accessible learning opportunities for students who face barriers in attending traditional schools. This study reinforces those conclusions by demonstrating how mobile learning platforms can boost participation and performance, particularly in remote regions with limited access to physical schools.

However, the results also contrast with studies conducted in urban areas, where infrastructure tends to be more developed. In urban settings, mobile learning is often used as a supplementary tool, whereas in rural areas, it can be the primary mode of education. This research emphasizes that without the proper infrastructure, the effectiveness of mobile learning is severely limited, a challenge that may not be as pronounced in urban environments where connectivity and device availability are less of an issue.

The findings of this study reflect the broader issue of educational inequality in remote and rural areas. While mobile learning has the potential to bridge gaps in access to education, the research highlights how infrastructural limitations continue to hinder its effectiveness. The disparity between students who have access to reliable internet and those who do not suggests that without targeted interventions, the digital divide in education will persist, particularly in underserved regions.

This study also raises important questions about the role of teachers in the mobile learning process. While students benefit from the flexibility of mobile learning, the success of these platforms is closely tied to how well teachers are able to integrate digital tools into their pedagogy. Teachers in rural areas face additional challenges in terms of both training and resources, suggesting that their support and development are crucial to ensuring the effectiveness of mobile learning.

The findings of this research have important implications for policymakers and educators seeking to improve education in remote and rural areas. First, it is clear that mobile learning can significantly enhance student engagement and academic performance when the right infrastructure is in place. This suggests that investing in digital infrastructure, such as expanding internet access and providing modern mobile devices to students, should be a priority

for governments and educational institutions aiming to close the educational gap in rural areas.

Second, the role of teachers in facilitating mobile learning must not be overlooked. Providing adequate training and resources for teachers is essential to ensuring that they can effectively use mobile learning tools to support student learning. The results highlight the need for comprehensive professional development programs that equip teachers with the skills needed to navigate digital platforms and engage students in meaningful ways.

The success of mobile learning in improving educational outcomes in remote areas can be explained by its ability to provide flexible, accessible learning opportunities. For students who face logistical barriers, such as long travel distances to school, mobile learning offers a practical solution by allowing them to access educational content on their own time. The flexibility of mobile learning platforms enables students to engage with materials at their own pace, which is particularly beneficial in rural settings where traditional schooling may be inconsistent.

The challenges identified, such as poor internet connectivity and limited access to devices, also explain why mobile learning's effectiveness varies across different regions. In areas with better infrastructure, mobile learning can reach its full potential, while in regions where connectivity is unreliable, students are often unable to fully participate. This disparity underscores the importance of addressing infrastructural gaps to ensure that all students, regardless of location, can benefit from mobile learning platforms.

Looking forward, the next steps should focus on improving infrastructure in remote and rural areas to support the widespread adoption of mobile learning. Governments and educational institutions must prioritize investments in internet connectivity and the provision of mobile devices to ensure that students can access high-quality

education through digital platforms. Without these improvements, the educational gap between rural and urban students will likely widen, limiting the potential of mobile learning to enhance educational equity.

Additionally, more attention should be given to teacher training and support in rural areas. Ensuring that teachers are well-equipped to integrate mobile learning into their instruction will be crucial to the success of these initiatives. Professional development programs that focus on digital pedagogy, as well as strategies for overcoming common challenges in rural education, will be key to empowering teachers and improving student outcomes. Future research should also explore how mobile learning can be adapted to meet the specific needs of rural communities, ensuring that these platforms are culturally relevant and responsive to local contexts.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is that mobile learning substantially improves student engagement and academic performance in remote and rural areas, provided that adequate infrastructure, such as stable internet and modern devices, is available. Students reported increased motivation and participation, with 70% of respondents citing enhanced engagement through mobile platforms. However, infrastructural challenges like unreliable internet and limited access to devices remain critical barriers that hinder the full potential of mobile learning in these regions.

The study also highlights a strong correlation between access to reliable technology and better educational outcomes. Students with stable internet connections and appropriate devices performed significantly better academically than those without such resources. These findings suggest that while mobile learning can be an effective tool in improving education in

underserved areas, its success heavily depends on addressing technological limitations.

This research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on mobile learning by providing specific insights into how mobile education platforms function in rural and remote settings. It emphasizes the importance of infrastructure, a factor often overlooked in discussions of e-learning, and highlights how critical this element is for the success of mobile learning in under-resourced areas. The findings offer a nuanced understanding of the relationship between infrastructure and educational outcomes, particularly in rural education contexts.

The mixed-methods approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative data, provides a comprehensive perspective on mobile learning's effectiveness. By incorporating the experiences of both students and teachers, the study not only measures the impact of mobile learning but also explores the practical challenges encountered in its implementation. This dual approach enriches the research and provides actionable recommendations for improving mobile learning strategies in rural education.

A limitation of this study is the focus on short-term educational outcomes, which may not capture the long-term benefits or challenges of mobile learning in rural areas. The study primarily examines immediate impacts such as student engagement and participation but does not assess how mobile learning influences broader educational achievements or job prospects over time. Additionally, the study's reliance on self-reported data from students and teachers may introduce biases that could affect the accuracy of the findings.

Future research should explore the long-term impacts of mobile learning on academic achievement and professional opportunities in rural communities. Longitudinal studies tracking students over several years could provide valuable insights into how sustained access to mobile learning platforms affects educational

outcomes. Further studies should also investigate how mobile learning can be adapted to better suit the needs of different rural contexts, including addressing the unique cultural and infrastructural challenges in these areas.

REFERENCE

- Abi-Farraj, F., Pacchierotti, C., Arenz, O., Neumann, G., & Giordano, P. R. (2020). A Haptic Shared-Control Architecture for Guided Multi-Target Robotic Grasping. *IEEE Transactions on Haptics*, *13*(2), 270–285. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TOH.2019.2913643>
- Anand, A., & Brix, J. (2022). The learning organization and organizational learning in the public sector: A review and research agenda. *The Learning Organization*, *29*(2), 129–156. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-05-2021-0061>
- Andersen, H. V., & Pitkänen, K. (2019). Empowering educators by developing professional practice in digital fabrication and design thinking. *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction*, *21*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcci.2019.03.001>
- Baek, H., Chung, J.-B., & Yun, G. W. (2021). Differences in public perceptions of geothermal energy based on EGS technology in Korea after the Pohang earthquake: National vs. local. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *172*, 121027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121027>
- Barone, V., Bertoldo, G., Magro, F., Broccanello, C., Puglisi, I., Baglieri, A., Cagnin, M., Concheri, G., Squartini, A., Pizzeghello, D., Nardi, S., & Stevanato, P. (2019). Molecular and Morphological Changes Induced by Leonardite-based Biostimulant in *Beta vulgaris* L. *Plants*, *8*(6), 181. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8060181>
- Bjelonic, F., Lee, J., Arm, P., Sako, D., Tateo, D., Peters, J., & Hutter, M. (2023). Learning-Based Design and Control for Quadrupedal Robots With Parallel-Elastic Actuators. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*,

- 8(3), 1611–1618.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2023.3234809>
- Brix, J. (2019a). Ambidexterity and organizational learning: Revisiting and reconnecting the literatures. *The Learning Organization*, 26(4), 337–351.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-02-2019-0034>
- Brix, J. (2019b). Innovation capacity building: An approach to maintaining balance between exploration and exploitation in organizational learning. *The Learning Organization*, 26(1), 12–26.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-08-2018-0143>
- Castelló, M., McAlpine, L., Sala-Bubaré, A., Inouye, K., & Skakni, I. (2021). What perspectives underlie ‘researcher identity’? A review of two decades of empirical studies. *Higher Education*, 81(3), 567–590.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00557-8>
- Christensen, C. B., Mikkelsen, B. E., & Toft, U. (2019). The effect of introducing a free breakfast club on eating habits among students at vocational schools. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1), 369.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6701-9>
- Dam, T., Chalvatzaki, G., Peters, J., & Pajarinen, J. (2022). Monte-Carlo Robot Path Planning. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(4), 11213–11220.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2022.3199674>
- D’Arcy, M. S. (2019). Cell death: A review of the major forms of apoptosis, necrosis and autophagy. *Cell Biology International*, 43(6), 582–592.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.11137>
- D’Arcy, M. S. (2020). A review of the chemopreventative and chemotherapeutic properties of the phytochemicals berberine, resveratrol and curcumin, and their influence on cell death via the pathways of apoptosis and autophagy. *Cell Biology International*, 44(9), 1781–1791.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.11402>
- D’Arcy, M. S. (2022). A review of biologically active flavonoids as inducers of autophagy and apoptosis in neoplastic cells and as cytoprotective agents in non-neoplastic cells. *Cell Biology International*, 46(8), 1179–1195.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.11813>
- Gittelsohn, J., Novotny, R., Trude, A. C. B., Butel, J., & Mikkelsen, B. E. (2018). Challenges and Lessons Learned from Multi-Level Multi-Component Interventions to Prevent and Reduce Childhood Obesity. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(1), 30.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16010030>
- Gomez-Gonzalez, S., Nemmour, Y., Schölkopf, B., & Peters, J. (2019). Reliable Real-Time Ball Tracking for Robot Table Tennis. *Robotics*, 8(4), 90.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics8040090>
- Gomez-Gonzalez, S., Prokudin, S., Scholkopf, B., & Peters, J. (2020). Real Time Trajectory Prediction Using Deep Conditional Generative Models. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 5(2), 970–976.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2020.2966390>
- Hindhede, A. L., & Larsen, K. (2019). Prestige hierarchies of diseases and specialities in a field perspective. *Social Theory & Health*, 17(2), 213–230.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41285-018-0074-5>
- Inouye, K., & McAlpine, L. (2019). Developing Academic Identity: A Review of the Literature on Doctoral Writing and Feedback. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 14, 001–031.
<https://doi.org/10.28945/4168>
- Jauhri, S., Peters, J., & Chalvatzaki, G. (2022). Robot Learning of Mobile Manipulation With Reachability Behavior Priors. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(3), 8399–8406.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2022.3188109>
- Jensen, J. B., Pedersen, O., Lund, O., & Skovbjerg, H. M. (2022). Playful approaches to learning as a realm for the humanities in the culture of higher education: A hermeneutical literature review. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, 21(2), 198–219.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/14740222211050862>
- Kim, B. J., Jeong, S., & Chung, J.-B. (2021). Research trends in vulnerability studies from 2000 to 2019: Findings from a bibliometric analysis. *International Journal*

- of *Disaster Risk Reduction*, 56, 102141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2021.102141>
- Kim, E.-S., & Chung, J.-B. (2019). The memory of place disruption, senses, and local opposition to Korean wind farms. *Energy Policy*, 131, 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.04.011>
- Koenuma, K., Yamanaka, A., Watanabe, I., & Kuwabara, T. (2020). Estimation of Texture-Dependent Stress-Strain Curve and *r*-Value of Aluminum Alloy Sheet Using Deep Learning. *MATERIALS TRANSACTIONS*, 61(12), 2276–2283. <https://doi.org/10.2320/matertrans.P-M2020853>
- Lee, E.-Y., De Lannoy, L., Li, L., De Barros, M. I. A., Bentsen, P., Brussoni, M., Crompton, L., Fiskum, T. A., Guerrero, M., Hallås, B. O., Ho, S., Jordan, C., Leather, M., Mannion, G., Moore, S. A., Sandseter, E. B. H., Spencer, N. L. I., Waite, S., Wang, P.-Y., ... Zorica, M. (2022). Play, Learn, and Teach Outdoors—Network (PLaTO-Net): Terminology, taxonomy, and ontology. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 19(1), 66. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-022-01294-0>
- Li, Q., Chalvatzaki, G., Peters, J., & Wang, Y. (2021). Directed Acyclic Graph Neural Network for Human Motion Prediction. *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 3197–3204. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA48506.2021.9561540>
- Liu, P., Zhang, K., Tateo, D., Jauhri, S., Peters, J., & Chalvatzaki, G. (2022). Regularized Deep Signed Distance Fields for Reactive Motion Generation. *2022 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 6673–6680. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS47612.2022.9981456>
- Lutter, M., Silberbauer, J., Watson, J., & Peters, J. (2021). Differentiable Physics Models for Real-world Offline Model-based Reinforcement Learning. *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 4163–4170. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA48506.2021.9561805>
- Madsen, A. K., & Munk, A. K. (2019). Experiments with a data-public: Moving digital methods into critical proximity with political practice. *Big Data & Society*, 6(1), 2053951718825357. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951718825357>
- McAlpine, L., Castello, M., & Pyhältö, K. (2020). What influences PhD graduate trajectories during the degree: A research-based policy agenda. *Higher Education*, 80(6), 1011–1043. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00448-7>
- Moon, J.-W., Hwang, H., & Chung, J.-B. (2019). Factors affecting awareness of preparedness after moderate earthquakes: An analysis of the Pohang earthquake in Korea. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 29(3), 405–420. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPM-07-2019-0209>
- Moos, J., Hansel, K., Abdulsamad, H., Stark, S., Clever, D., & Peters, J. (2022). Robust Reinforcement Learning: A Review of Foundations and Recent Advances. *Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction*, 4(1), 276–315. <https://doi.org/10.3390/make4010013>
- Morgan, A. S., Nandha, D., Chalvatzaki, G., D’Eramo, C., Dollar, A. M., & Peters, J. (2021). Model Predictive Actor-Critic: Accelerating Robot Skill Acquisition with Deep Reinforcement Learning. *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 6672–6678. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRA48506.2021.9561298>
- Muratore, F., Gienger, M., & Peters, J. (2021). Assessing Transferability From Simulation to Reality for Reinforcement Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 43(4), 1172–1183. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2019.2952353>
- Muratore, F., Ramos, F., Turk, G., Yu, W., Gienger, M., & Peters, J. (2022). Robot Learning From Randomized Simulations: A Review. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 9,

799893.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/frobt.2022.799893>

Peronard, J.-P., & Brix, J. (2019). Organizing for inter-organizational learning in service networks. *The Learning Organization*, 26(3), 276–288.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-08-2018-0137>

Tanneberg, D., Ploeger, K., Rueckert, E., & Peters, J. (2021). SKID RAW: Skill Discovery From Raw Trajectories. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 6(3), 4696–4703.

<https://doi.org/10.1109/LRA.2021.3068891>

Toft, K. H., & Rüdiger, M. (2020). Mapping corporate climate change ethics: Responses among three Danish energy firms. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 59, 101286.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.101286>

Ydesen, C., & Grek, S. (2020). Securing organisational survival: A historical inquiry into the OECD's work in education during the 1960s. *Paedagogica Historica*, 56(3), 412–427.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00309230.2019.1604774>

Yeon, D.-H., Chung, J.-B., & Im, D.-H. (2020). The Effects of Earthquake Experience on Disaster Education for Children and Teens. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(15), 5347.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17155347>