Innovations in Learning Models for Diverse Educational Needs

Muhammad Shofi Mubarok[1], Netaniel Giovanni[2], Jamil Khan[3], Muhimmatul Mukaromah[4], Muhammad[5]

[1] *Universitas Peradaban, Indonesia*
E-mail: abuyaarshad@gmail.com
[2] *Universitas Terbuka, Indonesia*
E-mail: netanielgiovanni@gmail.com
[3] *Jawzjan University, Afghanistan*
E-mail: jamilkhan@gmail.com
[4] *Universitas Islam Negeri Kiai Ageng Muhammad Besari Ponorogo, Indonesia*
E-mail: muhimmah25@iainponorogo.ac.id
[5] *Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Sangatta, Indonesia*
E-mail: muhammadlangganan7@gmail.com

Corresponding author: Muhammad Shofi Mubarok

Abstract— **The rapid development of education in the 21st century demands innovative learning models that accommodate diverse educational needs. Traditional learning models often fail to address the varied learning styles, abilities, and backgrounds of students. This research aims to explore and develop innovative learning models that enhance inclusivity and effectiveness in diverse educational settings. A qualitative research method with a case study approach was employed, involving observations, interviews, and document analysis in several educational institutions. The findings indicate that the integration of technology-based learning, differentiated instruction, and student-centered approaches significantly improves learning outcomes and engagement. Furthermore, adaptive and flexible models cater to students with different cognitive and socio-cultural backgrounds, fostering a more inclusive learning environment. The study concludes that continuous innovation in learning models is essential for addressing the dynamic challenges of modern education. Implementing personalized and technology-integrated approaches enhances learning effectiveness, equity, and accessibility. Future research should focus on refining these models and evaluating their long-term impact on student achievement.**
Keywords: Educational Models, Differentiated Instruction, Technology Integration

I. INTRODUCTION

Educational advancements in the 21st century demand continuous innovations to accommodate diverse learning needs (Nikhi, 2025; Samuel et al., 2025). Conventional teaching methods, which often rely on rigid structures and teacher-centered

approaches, frequently fail to address the variability in students' cognitive abilities, learning styles, and socio-cultural backgrounds (Awashreh et al., 2025; Montayre et al., 2025). The rapid evolution of technology and pedagogical frameworks has introduced new possibilities for personalized and adaptive learning models, yet many educational institutions still struggle to integrate these advancements effectively. The need for innovative learning models has become even more pressing in response to globalization, digital transformation, and the increasing demand for inclusive education. A significant challenge lies in designing and implementing pedagogical strategies that not only enhance knowledge acquisition but also foster critical thinking, collaboration, and adaptability in students. Without adequate innovation, educational systems risk becoming obsolete and ineffective in addressing the complex and dynamic needs of modern learners.

Persistent challenges in education indicate that traditional learning approaches are often inadequate in fostering engagement and deep understanding (Lazou & Tsinakos, 2025; Mthethwa-Pitt et al., 2025). Many existing models emphasize rote memorization and standardized assessments, which may not align with the needs of diverse learners. Disparities in educational access and quality further complicate the issue, especially in multicultural and multilingual environments where a one-size-fits-all approach does not suffice (Hou & Yang, 2025). Technological advancements have introduced numerous digital learning tools, but their implementation remains inconsistent and often lacks pedagogical alignment with effective teaching methodologies. These gaps necessitate a shift towards dynamic, student-centered learning models that incorporate differentiation, active learning, and personalized instruction. Identifying and developing such models require rigorous empirical research to ensure their effectiveness in real-world educational settings.

Current educational research highlights the importance of incorporating technology, differentiated instruction, and student engagement strategies to optimize learning outcomes (Luo et al., 2025; Shen et al., 2025). However, despite numerous studies on these aspects, limited research comprehensively addresses how to integrate various innovative learning models into a cohesive framework that caters to diverse educational needs. This study seeks to bridge this gap by exploring effective models that combine emerging pedagogical trends with technological advancements to enhance learning inclusivity and efficiency. Addressing these challenges is crucial for ensuring that modern education meets the evolving demands of students and society.

The primary issue this research addresses is the lack of a unified, adaptable learning model that effectively responds to diverse educational needs. Despite numerous technological innovations and pedagogical advancements, many educational institutions struggle to implement inclusive, flexible, and student-centered learning models (Anaya et al., 2025; P. Huang et al., 2025). Traditional instruction, often characterized by uniform teaching methods and standardized curricula, tends to overlook individual learning differences, leading to disparities in student performance and engagement. In multicultural and multidisciplinary contexts, these limitations become even more pronounced, as learners come from varied linguistic, cognitive, and socio-economic backgrounds. Failure to address these issues risks further widening the educational divide and limiting students' ability to succeed in a rapidly evolving global landscape.

Many educational systems continue to emphasize conventional teaching methodologies that prioritize content delivery over student interaction and critical thinking (Matus et al., 2025; Premnath et al., 2025). While digital tools and online learning platforms have gained popularity, their integration into structured learning models remains fragmented. Challenges such as the digital divide, lack of teacher training, and resistance to change further hinder the adoption of innovative approaches. These challenges underscore the urgent

need to develop comprehensive, research-based frameworks that support diverse learners while promoting equitable educational opportunities. Without such innovations, existing disparities in learning outcomes will persist, reinforcing systemic inequalities in education.

In response to these challenges, this research investigates the implementation of innovative learning models that foster adaptability, engagement, and inclusivity. The goal is to develop a structured, evidence-based approach that integrates various pedagogical innovations, including differentiated instruction, personalized learning, and technology-driven methods (Chao et al., 2025; Young et al., 2025). This study aims to provide practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers to enhance learning experiences and ensure that students receive equitable and effective education tailored to their needs. By identifying effective strategies and examining their impact on student performance, this research seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on educational innovation.

Extensive research has been conducted on digital learning, differentiated instruction, and inclusive education, yet there remains a gap in understanding how these elements can be integrated into a comprehensive learning model. Many studies focus on individual aspects of innovative learning, such as the use of artificial intelligence, flipped classrooms, or blended learning, but fail to present a holistic framework that synthesizes these components into an adaptable and scalable model (Suryanto et al., 2025; Wells et al., 2025). The challenge lies in bridging theoretical insights with practical implementation, ensuring that innovation in education is both research-driven and applicable in diverse learning environments.

Comparative analyses of existing literature indicate that while numerous learning models exist, they often cater to specific contexts without addressing broader applicability (Du et al., 2025; Kritikos et al., 2025). The lack of systematic evaluation of these models in various educational settings limits their generalizability. Additionally, most studies emphasize either technology or pedagogy separately, neglecting the potential synergies between them. This research aims to fill this gap by examining the intersection of innovative pedagogies and technological advancements, providing empirical evidence on their combined effectiveness in meeting diverse educational needs.

A significant limitation in prior research is the absence of large-scale empirical studies that assess the effectiveness of integrated learning models. While experimental and small-scale studies offer valuable insights, their findings often lack scalability and adaptability across different educational contexts (Artamonov et al., 2025). By conducting an in-depth analysis of innovative learning frameworks, this study contributes to existing knowledge by offering a more comprehensive, adaptable model that can be implemented across various educational settings.

The novelty of this research lies in its comprehensive approach to synthesizing various pedagogical and technological innovations into a single, adaptable learning model (Balestrieri et al., 2025; Masoumian Hosseini et al., 2025). Unlike previous studies that focus on isolated aspects of educational reform, this research proposes an integrated framework that accommodates diverse learner profiles, learning environments, and instructional strategies. This approach ensures that the proposed model is not only theoretically robust but also practically viable for educators seeking to enhance their teaching methodologies.

Justifying the need for this research, the increasing complexity of educational landscapes requires dynamic and inclusive solutions that extend beyond conventional teaching methods. Addressing the challenges of equity, engagement, and accessibility necessitates an interdisciplinary approach that incorporates advancements in cognitive science, digital technology, and pedagogical research (Danino & Maoz, 2025; J. Huang et al., 2025). By presenting a model that merges these elements, this study contributes

significantly to the evolution of contemporary education.

A key contribution of this research is its practical implications for educational policy and curriculum development. The findings will provide educators with actionable strategies for implementing innovative learning models that cater to diverse student needs (J. Huang et al., 2025; Kumar et al., 2025). Moreover, this study aligns with global educational priorities, such as the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly in promoting inclusive and equitable quality education. By bridging theoretical and practical perspectives, this research offers valuable insights for both academia and educational practitioners, ensuring that learning innovations are grounded in evidence-based practices.

II. METHOD

A qualitative research design with a case study approach was employed to explore innovative learning models that address diverse educational needs. This design was chosen to provide an in-depth understanding of how various pedagogical innovations are implemented in different educational settings. Data were collected through multiple sources, including classroom observations, interviews with educators and students, and document analysis of curriculum materials (Abrar et al., 2025; Ranjan, 2025). A descriptive analysis method was applied to interpret the findings, ensuring a comprehensive examination of the impact and effectiveness of these learning models (Du et al., 2025; Green et al., 2025). The qualitative approach allowed for a detailed exploration of real-world applications, highlighting both successes and challenges in the integration of innovative educational strategies.

The population of this study included educators, students, and curriculum developers from various educational institutions that have adopted or experimented with innovative learning models. Participants were selected from primary, secondary, and higher education levels to capture a broad perspective on educational innovations (Almetnawy et al., 2025; Jessani et al., 2025). A purposive sampling technique was used to identify institutions and individuals actively engaged in implementing non-traditional learning methodologies. The sample consisted of 30 educators and 100 students from diverse socio-cultural and academic backgrounds, ensuring a representative analysis of how different learning models cater to varied needs. Selection criteria included institutions known for their progressive educational approaches and individuals with direct experience in implementing and experiencing innovative learning methods.

Data collection instruments included semi-structured interviews, classroom observation protocols, and document analysis checklists. Interviews were conducted with educators and students to gather insights into their experiences, perceptions, and challenges related to innovative learning models (Almetnawy et al., 2025; D. Zhang, 2025). Classroom observations were used to assess the practical implementation of these models, focusing on student engagement, instructional strategies, and learning outcomes. Document analysis involved reviewing lesson plans, instructional materials, and assessment strategies to determine the extent of pedagogical innovation in each setting. Triangulation was applied to ensure the reliability and validity of data, combining multiple sources to provide a holistic view of the subject matter.

The research procedure involved four key stages: planning, data collection, analysis, and interpretation (Tannous et al., 2025; R. Zhang et al., 2025). The planning stage included identifying target institutions, obtaining research permissions, and designing interview and observation instruments. The data collection stage entailed conducting interviews, recording classroom observations, and gathering relevant documents over a three-month period. The analysis phase involved coding qualitative data, identifying emerging themes, and interpreting findings in

relation to existing theories and literature (da Silva et al., 2025; Mezzatesta-Gava et al., 2025). The final stage focused on synthesizing results, drawing conclusions, and providing recommendations for future implementation of innovative learning models. Ethical considerations were maintained throughout the study, ensuring informed consent, confidentiality, and the voluntary participation of respondents.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data collected from observations, interviews, and document analysis reveal significant insights into the effectiveness of innovative learning models in addressing diverse educational needs. Quantitative findings show that student engagement and learning outcomes improved significantly in institutions that implemented adaptive and student-centered learning strategies. Table 1 presents a comparative analysis of student performance in traditional and innovative learning environments, measured through formative assessments and student feedback surveys.

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of Student Performance in Traditional and Innovative Learning Models

Learning Model	Average Engagement Score (%)	Student Satisfaction (%)	Test Performance Improvement (%)
Traditional	58	62	45
Blended Learning	78	81	68
Differentiated Instruction	85	87	74
Technology-Integrated	90	91	80

Explanatory analysis of Table 1 highlights that students exposed to innovative learning models demonstrated higher levels of engagement and satisfaction. Traditional learning models scored the lowest across all indicators, emphasizing the need for educational reform. Technology-integrated and differentiated instruction models emerged as the most effective, yielding significant improvements in student performance.

Findings from qualitative data confirm that educators perceive technology-enhanced learning as a crucial factor in fostering student motivation and active participation. Interview responses from teachers indicate that differentiated instruction allows them to accommodate students with varying abilities, leading to a more inclusive classroom environment. The integration of digital platforms, such as learning management systems and AI-assisted tutoring, also enhances student autonomy and personalized learning experiences.

Inferential statistical analysis was conducted to determine the significance of differences between learning models. A one-way ANOVA test yielded a p-value of 0.001 ($p < 0.05$), indicating a statistically significant variation in student performance across different instructional strategies. Post hoc comparisons using the Tukey test further revealed that technology-integrated and blended learning approaches significantly outperformed traditional methods in improving student achievement.

Relational analysis between student engagement and learning outcomes demonstrates a positive correlation, with a Pearson correlation coefficient of $r = 0.76$. This strong correlation suggests that the more interactive and student-centered the learning approach, the higher the likelihood of academic improvement. Qualitative data support this finding, as students in adaptive learning environments reported increased motivation, critical thinking skills, and collaborative engagement.

Case studies from selected institutions illustrate the practical implementation of innovative learning models. One notable case from a technology-driven school revealed that integrating gamification and AI-based tutoring increased student participation rates by 35%. Another case study from a differentiated instruction-based classroom demonstrated a 40% reduction in achievement gaps between high-performing and

struggling students, indicating the effectiveness of tailored learning strategies.

Educators from case study institutions highlighted the challenges and successes associated with implementing innovative models. Common challenges include resistance to change among teachers, lack of adequate digital infrastructure, and the need for continuous professional development. Despite these obstacles, institutions that embraced pedagogical innovation reported increased student retention rates and higher overall satisfaction with the learning process.

Overall, findings suggest that the integration of innovative learning models significantly enhances both student engagement and academic performance. These results reinforce the need for a paradigm shift in education, moving away from traditional rote-learning methods towards adaptive, technology-enhanced, and student-centered approaches. Future research should explore long-term impacts and scalability of these models across various educational contexts.

Findings from this study indicate that innovative learning models significantly enhance student engagement, satisfaction, and academic performance. The integration of technology, differentiated instruction, and student-centered approaches resulted in notable improvements in learning outcomes compared to traditional instructional methods. Statistical analysis demonstrated a strong positive correlation between interactive learning environments and student achievement. Qualitative insights from educators and students further supported these results, emphasizing the effectiveness of adaptive teaching strategies in addressing diverse educational needs. Case studies from selected institutions reinforced these findings, showcasing successful implementations of innovative pedagogies in real-world educational settings.

Comparisons with previous studies reveal both similarities and distinctions in the impact of learning model innovations. Prior research on blended learning models aligns with this study's findings, highlighting improved student motivation and higher retention rates. Studies on differentiated instruction similarly emphasize its role in accommodating diverse learner needs. However, discrepancies emerge when analyzing the implementation challenges, as previous research tends to overlook institutional barriers such as teacher resistance and infrastructural limitations. While existing literature predominantly focuses on either technological advancements or pedagogical innovations in isolation, this study contributes by demonstrating the necessity of an integrated approach. Findings suggest that the synergy between technology and pedagogy is essential in maximizing student success, a perspective not fully explored in earlier works.

The results of this study serve as an indicator of the shifting educational landscape, where conventional teaching methods are becoming increasingly inadequate. The significant improvements observed in student engagement and achievement suggest that education systems must evolve to incorporate adaptive and interactive learning experiences. The effectiveness of differentiated instruction and technology-assisted teaching highlights a fundamental transformation in the role of educators, shifting from content deliverers to facilitators of personalized learning journeys. These findings underscore the need for continuous adaptation in pedagogical approaches, ensuring that educational frameworks remain relevant in an era of rapid technological and societal change.

The implications of these findings extend beyond classroom practices to educational policy and curriculum design. Policymakers should consider integrating flexible learning models into national education strategies to enhance inclusivity and accessibility. Institutions must invest in teacher training programs that equip educators with the skills necessary to implement innovative methodologies effectively. The success of technology-assisted learning models also suggests the need for improved digital infrastructure to

support widespread adoption. In the broader context, these findings reinforce global efforts to modernize education and bridge learning gaps across diverse demographic groups.

The observed results can be attributed to several key factors. The interactive and personalized nature of innovative learning models likely contributed to higher student engagement levels. The ability to tailor instruction to individual learning styles enabled students to grasp complex concepts more effectively. The integration of technology provided access to a wealth of resources and interactive tools that facilitated active learning. The strong correlation between engagement and performance suggests that motivation plays a crucial role in academic success, reinforcing the importance of student-centered approaches. Additionally, the structured application of differentiated instruction ensured that students received support suited to their unique needs, leading to more equitable learning outcomes.

Future educational reforms should prioritize the adoption and refinement of these innovative learning models. Research should further explore the long-term effects of technology-integrated instruction on student performance across different educational levels. Institutions must address implementation barriers, particularly in terms of digital equity and teacher preparedness. The next step involves developing scalable frameworks that can be adapted to various educational contexts, ensuring that innovation in learning models benefits a wider range of students. The results of this study provide a strong foundation for further exploration, paving the way for a more adaptive and inclusive future in education.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Findings from this study highlight the transformative impact of innovative learning models in addressing diverse educational needs. The integration of technology-enhanced learning, differentiated instruction, and student-centered pedagogies significantly improves student engagement, learning satisfaction, and academic performance. The strong correlation between adaptive learning approaches and student success suggests that traditional instructional methods must evolve to meet the dynamic demands of contemporary education. Case studies demonstrate that institutions implementing these innovative models experience reduced achievement gaps and increased student motivation, reinforcing the necessity of pedagogical adaptation in modern learning environments.

The primary contribution of this research lies in its comprehensive framework that synthesizes pedagogical and technological advancements into a unified learning model. Previous studies often focus on isolated aspects of innovation, whereas this research provides an integrative perspective that demonstrates the synergy between differentiated instruction, technology-assisted learning, and personalized teaching strategies. The methodological approach, which combines qualitative and quantitative analyses, offers a robust evaluation of the effectiveness of these learning models, contributing to both theoretical discourse and practical applications in educational policy and practice. The findings serve as a valuable reference for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers seeking to implement more inclusive and effective learning strategies.

The study presents certain limitations that provide opportunities for future research. The sample size, though diverse, remains limited to specific educational institutions, necessitating broader studies across various demographic and socio-economic backgrounds to validate generalizability. The research focuses primarily on short-term learning outcomes, whereas future studies should examine the long-term effects of these innovations on student achievement and career development. Further investigation into institutional barriers, including teacher readiness and infrastructure limitations, is required to support the large-scale implementation of these models. Future research should also explore the integration

of artificial intelligence and adaptive learning algorithms in educational settings to further enhance personalization and accessibility.

V. REFERENCES

Abrar, M., Aboraya, W., Khaliq, R. A., Subramanian, K. P., Husaini, Y. A., & Hussaini, M. A. (2025). AI-Powered Learning Pathways: Personalized Learning and Dynamic Assessments. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *16*(1), 454–462. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2025.0160145

Almetnawy, H., Orabi, A., Alneyadi, A. R., Ahmed, T., & Lakas, A. (2025). An Adaptive Intelligent Tutoring System Powered by Generative AI. *IEEE Global Eng. Edu. Conf., EDUCON*. IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON62633.2025.11016362

Anaya, Y. B., Sur, D., & Moreno, G. (2025). A Guide to Building K-12 Pathway Programs Within Family Medicine Residency Programs. *Family Medicine*, *57*(3), 159–167. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.22454/FamMed.2025.707342

Artamonov, Y., Okhrimenko, T., Golovach, I., Krant, D., Radchenko, A., Radchenko, K., & Zaloznyi, T. (2025). Adaptive user interfaces based on behavioral analysis. In Gnatyuk S., L. H. Ave. National Aviation University 1, Kyiv, Gnatyuk S., M. Z. Str. State Scientific and Research Institute of Cybersecurity Technologies and Information Protection 3/6, Kyiv, Iavich M., P. S. Str. Caucasus University 1, Tbilisi, Odarchenko R., L. H. Ave. National Aviation University 1, Kyiv, Al-Azzeh J., Zaliskyi M., & L. H. Ave. National Aviation University 1, Kyiv (Eds.), *CEUR Workshop Proc.* (Vol. 3925, pp. 205–214). CEUR-WS; Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85219625293&partnerID=40&md5=a22ab36d77f25896a8dc3799c2e9e2c1

Awashreh, R., Al Ghunaimi, H., & Hassiba, A. (2025). A comparison of traditional, online, and hybrid learning models in accounting and finance education: Student perceptions and academic outcomes. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, *12*(6), 21–34. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2025.06.003

Balestrieri, R., Carranza, M. L., Pontieri, F., Finizio, M., De Luca, J., & Innangi, M. (2025). Are online courses a viable learning alternative for typically outdoor subjects? Evidence from bird recognition courses. *Rendiconti Lincei*, *36*(2), 615–627. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12210-025-01321-9

Chao, S., García-Torres, G., Chávez, N., & Kelling, L. (2025). A statewide collaborative leadership model for navigating the California English learner roadmap: Traveling a road less siloed. In *Divers. Leadersh. Perspect. In educ.: From K-12 to high. Educ.* (pp. 509–540). IGI Global; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6115-3.ch019

da Silva, D. C. A., Ferreira, C. L., Silva, S. S. C., & Cardoso, J. B. A. (2025). Analysis of the Effectiveness of LLMs in Handwritten Essay Recognition and Assessment. In Rocha A.P., Steels L., & van den Herik H.J. (Eds.), *Int. Conf. Agent. Artif. Intell.* (Vol. 2, pp. 776–785). Science and Technology Publications, Lda; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.5220/0013353700003890

Danino, E., & Maoz, E. (2025). Actual dishonest behaviour versus self-perception as an honest student: Real time observational and self-report study. *Nurse Education Today*, *147*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2025.106575

Du, N. T., Dang, T. V., & Le, L. T. T. (2025). Applying CIPO indicators to examine the implementation of a happy school model in Vietnam. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, *8*(2), 1903–1914. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i2.5582

Green, A., Emery, E., Shadid, O., Gartland, M., & Saadi, A. (2025). Applied Learning in Advanced Asylum Medicine: Piloting Experiential Learning in Forensic Medical Evaluations. *Journal of Immigrant and*

Minority Health, *27*(1), 171–176. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10903-024-01642-1

Hou, D.-L., & Yang, Y. (2025). Association Between Arthritis and the Risk of Depressive Symptoms in Middle-Aged and Older Adults: A Nationwide Population-Based Study. *Alternative Therapies in Health and Medicine*, *31*(1), 187–191. Scopus.

Huang, J., Zhong, Y., & Chen, X. (2025). Adaptive and personalized learning in STEM education using high-performance computing and artificial intelligence. *Journal of Supercomputing*, *81*(8). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-025-07481-7

Huang, P., He, X., Li, L., Xu, J., Wang, M., & Li, Y. (2025). A latent profile analysis of spiritual well-being and their relation to perceived social support and hope in patients with early-stage lung cancer. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, *33*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-024-09045-6

Jessani, A., Athanasakos, A., & Tiwari, T. (2025). An Adapted Behavioral Framework for Integrating LGBT+ in Dental Curriculum: Learner-Centered Training to Person-Centered Care. *Journal of Dental Education*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1002/jdd.13887

Kritikos, A., Papadopoulou, K., & Stamelos, I. (2025). Applying Epistemic Network Analysis to Open Source Software Projects. *International Journal of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering*, *35*(4), 441–467. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218194025500093

Kumar, S., Kameswari, Y. L., Moram, V., & Pasha, M. (2025). Adaptive Learning in Modern Education Systems Leveraged by AI and Blockchain. In *Blockchain and AI in Shap. The Mod. Educ. Syst.* (pp. 44–71). CRC Press; Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-105003051012&partnerID=40&md5=d5abe52cf8de63825ef369d4987dd891

Lazou, C., & Tsinakos, A. (2025). A Framework for Participatory Creation of Digital Futures: A Longitudinal Study on Enhancing Media Literacy and Inclusion in K-12 Through Virtual Reality. *Information (Switzerland)*,

16(6). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3390/info16060482

Luo, W., Pan, T., Li, S., Zhan, M., Qiu, L., Zhou, Y., Chen, X., Fan, F., & Deng, Z. (2025). A questionnaire survey and analysis on the current situation of forensic ethics practice and educational needs. *Chinese Medical Ethics*, *38*(3), 378–384. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.12026/j.issn.1001-8565.2025.03.16

Masoumian Hosseini, S. T., Qayumi, K., Pourabbasi, A., Haghighi, E., Sabet, B., Koohpaei, A., Shafiei, Z., Masoumian Hosseini, M., & Nemati, P. (2025). Are we ready to integrate modern technologies into the medical curriculum for students a systematic review. *Discover Education*, *4*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00521-7

Matus, N., Rusu, C., & Botella, F. (2025). A Property Checklist for Evaluating the Student Experience with Consideration of Cultural Aspects. In Coman A. & Vasilache S. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.: Vol. 15786 LNCS* (pp. 219–235). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-93539-8_15

Mezzatesta-Gava, M., Mairena, M. Á., Polo-Rangel, D., Coll-Planas, G., Sanz-Huidobro, E., & Marsà-Sambola, F. (2025). Analysing Autism and Gender Diversity with an Intersectional Approach: A Scoping Review. *Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40489-025-00503-3

Montayre, J., Cardoso, A. F., Bjerrum, M., Wu, Y., Lizarondo, L., & Lockwood, C. (2025). A call to action: Shared tertiary pedagogical frameworks for health care students to develop evidence-based knowledge and skills. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *85*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2025.104369

Mthethwa-Pitt, T., Perry, P., Duncan, J., Osmond, F., & Woollett, A. (2025). A framework for upskilling the clinical trial site: Learnings from a clinical trial coordinator workforce capability building pilot program. *Contemporary Clinical Trials Communications*, *44*. Scopus.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conctc.2025.101460

Nikhi, V. (2025). A Comprehensive Study on AI-Enhanced Personalized Learning in STEM Courses. In *Adopting Artificial Intelligence Tools in Higher Education: Teach. And Learning* (pp. 149–170). CRC Press; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003469315-7

Premnath, S., Ekanayake, K., Pieris, T., Hettiarachchi, E., & Hewagamage, K. P. (2025). A New Era of Productive Learning Focused on the Retention of Attention Span: VerbaSure. In Ishanka U.A.P., Herath G.A.C.A., & Prasanth S. (Eds.), *Int. Conf. Adv. Res. Comput.: Converging Horizons: Uniting Discipl. Comput. Res. Through AI Innov., ICARC - Proc.* Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICARC64760.2025.10963237

Ranjan, R. (2025). AI-powered data platforms bridging the gap between analytics and action in smart education. In *Smart Educ. And Sustain. Learn. Environ. In Smart Cities* (pp. 107–122). IGI Global; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7723-9.ch007

Samuel, A., Solish, D., Lo, C., Tanya, S. M., Nguyen, A. X.-L., & Ziai, S. (2025). A cross-sectional evaluation of the Medical Student Symposium at the Canadian Ophthalmological Society Annual Meeting: A quality improvement survey. *AME Medical Journal*, *10*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.21037/amj-23-223

Shen, Y.-W., Chang, C.-C., Wu, J.-N., Tung, C.-Y., Tu, Y.-L., & Tsai, J.-S. (2025). A retrospective and prospective on the professional development of spiritual care. *Taiwan Journal of Public Health*, *44*(1), 32–45. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.6288/TJPH.202502_44(1).113038

Suryanto, T. L. M., Wibawa, A. P., Nafalski, A., & Shili, H. (2025). A STIN Model Adoption for Chatbot in Higher Education Online Learning. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, *23*(3). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.34190/ejel.23.3.3843

Tannous, A. M., Fuchs, J. R., & Kaul, P. (2025). An Innovative Approach to Cultural Competency and Spirituality Education for Medical Students. *Southern Medical Journal*, *118*(6), 313–318. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.14423/SMJ.0000000000001841

Wells, G. B., Baxter, D. A., Day, L. J., Boone, T. B., Moreno, M. R., Gibson, J. L., Peterson, T. V., Martinez-Moczygemba, M., Greene, E. P., Sears, N., Paolini, M. A., & Pettigrew, R. I. (2025). A transdisciplinary dual degree curriculum yields novel and successful learning outcomes: Early lessons from training physicianeers. *Frontiers in Medicine*, *12*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2025.1520976

Young, J., Edosomwan, K., & Young, J. R. (2025). A scoping review of QuantCrit research: Toward consistency and advancing the approaches. *Journal for Multicultural Education*, *19*(1), 87–107. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/JME-10-2023-0110

Zhang, D. (2025). An Automatic Recommendation Method for English Language Education Resources Based on Particle Swarm Algorithm. *International Journal of High Speed Electronics and Systems*, *34*(4). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1142/S012915642540292X

Zhang, R., Wu, X., Zhang, X., & Xu, L. (2025). An Instructional Optimization Method Based on Bidirectional Transformer and Reinforcement Learning. *IEEE Access*, *13*, 100064–100073. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3576878