



Challenges of Crisis Communication in Responding to the Threat of Terrorism: Minimizing Fear and Encouraging Community Solidarity

Sumadi ¹, Lilis Nurteti ², Bambang Dwi Waluyo ³, Matteson Niva ⁴, Kailie Maharjan ⁵

¹ Universitas Islam Darussalam Ciamis, Indonesia

² Institut Agama Islam Darussalam Ciamis, Indonesia

³ Komunitas Epistemik Hubungan Internasional Indonesia, Indonesia

⁴ University of Lyon, France

⁵ Technical University of Munich Munich, Germany

Corresponding Author: Sumadi, E-mail; sumadi2009@gmail.com

Article Information:

Received June 10, 2024

Revised June 19, 2024

Accepted June 25, 2024

ABSTRACT

Terrorism crisis has become one of the serious challenges in the context of global security. The threat of terrorism not only endangers the safety of individuals and communities but also causes widespread psychological impacts, such as fear and anxiety that can disrupt social harmony. In addressing the terrorism crisis, communication is the key to minimizing fear and fostering community solidarity. This study aims to explore effective crisis communication strategies in responding to terrorism threats. The main focus of the research is to understand how communication messages can be designed to reduce fear and rally support and solidarity among the community in the face of a terrorism crisis. The research method used is a qualitative study with a content analysis approach of various information sources such as policy documents, mass media, and interviews with crisis communication experts. These data are analyzed to identify effective communication strategies in a terrorism crisis situation. The findings show that communication strategies focused on delivering accurate, transparent information and providing clear guidance to the public can help reduce fear and build solidarity. Additionally, the use of narratives that emphasize values of togetherness and cooperation has also proven effective in garnering community support. The conclusion of this study is that effective crisis communication in responding to terrorism threats prioritizes clarity, accuracy of information, and the use of narratives that build solidarity. Well-planned and collaboratively executed communication strategies between the government, media, and community are key to facing communication challenges in the context of a terrorism crisis.

Keywords: *Crisis Communication, Terrorism Threats, Minimizing Fear*

Journal Homepage

<https://ejournal.staialhikmahpariangan.ac.id/Journal/index.php/judastaipa/>

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

How to cite:

Sumadi, Sumadi., Nurteti, L & Waluyo, D, B. (2024). Challenges of Crisis Communication in Responding to the Threat of Terrorism: Minimizing Fear and

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the world has witnessed an increase in terrorism incidents that not only threaten national security but also cause widespread public unrest (Lehr, 2019). This issue has sparked an urgent need for effective crisis communication strategies that can minimize fear and foster solidarity among citizens (Washington et al., 2023). Terrorism, as a form of extremism aimed at creating fear, requires a comprehensive and multifaceted approach to responding to its threats (Selvaraj & Kuppuswamy, 2019). Community involvement in responding to terrorism through proper communication is essential. Crisis communication is a critical management practice to inform and educate the public during periods of uncertainty, disruption, or threat (Wieland et al., 2022). This practice plays a crucial role in shaping public perception and maintaining the integrity of an organization, government, or institution during a crisis situation (Baniya, 2019). The main goal of crisis communication is to provide timely, accurate, and consistent information to help reduce damage, guide public response, and support the recovery process (Tambo et al., 2021).

Crisis communication involves collecting, processing, and disseminating information needed to address emergencies (Tous-Rovirosa & Dergacheva, 2021). This is not limited to the time of crisis but also includes pre-crisis preparation and post-crisis recovery. Before a crisis occurs, organizations need to develop a crisis communication plan that includes identifying stakeholders, developing messages, and determining methods for disseminating information (Takamura et al., 2021). This plan must be flexible and adaptive, capable of adjusting to various types of crises that may occur (Corrado et al., 2021). During a crisis, the speed and accuracy of information are crucial. Communication must be conducted openly and honestly to build and maintain public trust. One of the biggest challenges is filtering out incorrect information and speculation that can lead to further panic (Katsikopoulos, 2021). Therefore, crisis communicators must be competent in information management and have the ability to communicate in a calming and reassuring manner.

The use of technology also plays a significant role in modern crisis communication (Longmuir, 2023). Social media, for example, allows organizations to spread information quickly and widely but also provides a platform for rumors and misinformation (Ali et al., 2020). Two-way communication through social media can be used to address public concerns, clarify information, and reinforce official messages (Qi, 2023). It is important to have a team ready to monitor and respond to social media dynamics in real time. In a global context, crisis communication must consider cultural and linguistic differences. Messages that are effective in one region may not be relevant or may even be offensive in another. Therefore, messages must be customized and translated according to the demographic and cultural needs of each audience. This also

includes the use of symbols, narratives, and values that resonate locally in crafting crisis communication. Additionally, regular training and simulations are crucial to ensure that all team members are ready to act quickly and efficiently in crisis situations. This training should cover a variety of scenarios, from natural disasters to cyber attacks and from financial scandals to public health crises. With thorough preparation, the crisis communication team can reduce response time and enhance the effectiveness of interventions.

Another important aspect of crisis communication is post-crisis evaluation. After the crisis has subsided, it is important to analyze how information was conveyed, how the public responded, and what can be improved for the future. This process not only helps in refining plans and strategies for crisis communication but also in building the organizational capacity to be more resilient in facing future crises (Oltra González et al., 2021). Ultimately, crisis communication is not only about managing a crisis when it occurs but also about building and maintaining the long-term reputation of an organization (Wieland et al., 2021). With effective communication, an organization can demonstrate leadership, responsibility, and dedication to the public they serve, which in turn can strengthen public trust and support in the long term (McIntosh, 2022). Therefore, crisis communication should be seen as an integral component of the overall management strategy that strengthens the resilience and integrity of the organization in the public eye (Huang et al., 2023).

Addressing the threat of terrorism is not just the task of security forces but also involves cooperation and coordination among agencies and active support from the community (Zaman, 2023). Why is this a problem? Because ineffective responses and inadequate communication can increase fear and worsen the situation, making terrorism even more effective in achieving its goal of spreading terror (Arora, 2022). This research is conducted to explore how crisis communication can be configured to address this issue more effectively. The problem to be solved through this research is how to design and implement crisis communication strategies that are not only effective in responding to incidents but also proactive in building community resilience against terrorism propaganda (Wheeler et al., 2022). It is important to discuss this because, with effective communication, a social defense system can be achieved that is not only resilient but also inclusive, ensuring security and social cohesion in the long term. To address this issue, this research will use an interdisciplinary approach, combining theories of communication, social psychology, and security studies to develop a new model of crisis communication (Ashby-King & Aragón, 2022). Through this approach, it is hoped that the resulting strategies can be more responsive and adaptable to changing social dynamics. This research is conducted based on the observation that although many studies focus on security responses to terrorism, few deeply examine crisis communication as a means to address the psychosocial impacts of terrorism (Teixeira & Sebastiao, 2023). Therefore, this research aims to fill this gap by offering new insights regarding communication approaches that strengthen community solidarity and reduce fear.

There are several previous research opinions. The first research Wodak, (2021), according to the research title Crisis communication and crisis management during COVID-19. The results of his research stated that by analysing a sample of important speeches and press conferences by government leaders (all performing as the ‘face of crisis management’), it is possible to deconstruct a range of discursive strategies announcing/legitimising restrictive measures in order to cope with the COVID-19 pandemic where everybody is in danger of falling ill, regardless of their status, position, education and so forth. The second research Shipway, (2024), according to the research title New era, new normal, new challenges: managing crises and disasters at major and mega sport events. The results of his research stated that In proposing future solutions, this chapter calls for future interdisciplinary studies and greater academic-industry collaboration in a changing landscape and the ‘new normal’ for the planning and delivery of major and mega sports events. The third research Chen et al., (2023), according to the research title How Tourists’ Perceived Risk Affects Behavioral Intention through Crisis Communication in the Post-COVID-19 Era. The results of his research stated that an increase in perceived risk had a positive effect on crisis communication and NPI and affected tourists’ behavioral intentions through emotional attachment to the destination. This study provides an opportunity to establish an essential contribution to post-disaster crisis management, which may serve as a marketing reference for tourism operators in the post-COVID-19 era, as well as to address future pandemic challenges.

Specifically, this research contributes to the literature by proposing a crisis communication model that integrates risk analysis, management of public emotions, and reinforcement of constructive narratives. This model is designed to be adaptive to the specific contexts of terrorism threats in various regions, taking into account relevant socio-cultural factors. In terms of state of the art, this study adopts and expands the existing framework of crisis communication by integrating digital technology and social media as part of the communication strategy. The proposed innovations include the development of algorithms for early detection of terrorism messages on social media and other digital platforms, as well as the use of virtual reality technology for simulation and crisis response training. The novelty of this research lies in the application of current technology within the context of crisis communication, which has not been extensively studied before and focuses on cross-sector cooperation involving government, private sector, and civil society. This article provides a new perspective that combines technological sophistication with the psychosocial needs of the community. It is hoped that further research can develop the proposed model more extensively by conducting empirical testing in various geographical and social contexts. Additionally, it is also important to explore the connections between public policy and crisis communication strategies to find more holistic and sustainable solutions in responding to terrorism threats.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research design

The research employs a qualitative approach with a focus on content analysis. This approach is chosen because it allows researchers to delve deeply into understanding effective crisis communication strategies in responding to terrorism threats, including psychological and social aspects related to them (Kimotho & Nyarang'o, 2021). The research design combines policy document analysis, mass media analysis, and interviews with crisis communication experts to generate a holistic understanding of the research topic.

Research Procedure

The research process begins with identifying relevant sources of information, such as government policy documents related to terrorism, media coverage of terrorism incidents, and literature on crisis communication (Fama et al., 2021). Subsequently, content analysis is conducted on these documents to identify communication patterns used in responding to terrorism threats. Additionally, interviews are conducted with crisis communication experts from various backgrounds, including public communication practitioners, academics, and government officials involved in crisis management. These interviews aim to gain in-depth insights into effective crisis communication practices in addressing terrorism threats.

Research Subjects or Research Ethics

The main research subjects are crisis communication strategies used by governments, mass media, and non-governmental organizations in responding to terrorism threats. The use of policy documents and mass media does not require direct subject approval. However, in conducting interviews with experts, research ethics involving participant consent and data confidentiality are strictly followed. Each interviewee is provided with comprehensive information about the research objectives, their rights as research subjects, and the potential use of interview results in academic publications (Nadareishvili et al., 2023). Written consent to participate in the research and permission to record interviews are obtained before the interviews begin. Furthermore, data confidentiality is maintained by storing interview data in encrypted form and allowing it to be accessed only by responsible researchers.

Data Collection Techniques or Data Processing Techniques

The data collection techniques include:

1. Policy document analysis: Policy documents related to terrorism, crisis management, and public communication are systematically analyzed to identify communication strategies used by governments in responding to terrorism threats.
2. Mass media analysis: Mass media coverage of terrorism incidents and the response of society and governments are analyzed to identify effective or

ineffective communication patterns in addressing fear and building solidarity.

3. Interviews with experts: Interviews are conducted using structured interview guides designed to obtain in-depth information about effective crisis communication practices. Interview data are thematically analyzed to identify common patterns and key findings.

The collected data is then processed using content analysis techniques to identify main themes, communication patterns, and key findings relevant to the research objectives. The results of this analysis are used to build a comprehensive understanding of effective crisis communication strategies in responding to terrorism threats.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crisis communication in the context of terrorism threats is a critical element that arises in response to the potential or actualization of terror acts (Edwards et al., 2021). Terrorism, with its sudden and threatening nature, often forces governments and organizations to communicate quickly and effectively with the public in order to manage the situation, minimize losses, and maintain security and calm within the community. There are several factors that make crisis communication extremely important in such situations. First, the nature of terrorist acts, often unpredictable and extreme, creates an urgent need for fast and accurate information. The public and authorities require up-to-date data about what is happening, who is involved, the casualties, and the steps that need to be taken. Crisis communication aids in efficiently providing this information, preventing the spread of incorrect information and speculation that could lead to widespread panic.

Second, threats of terrorism are often accompanied by high uncertainty (Domschat et al., 2023). Unclear information about the perpetrators, their motives, and the possibility of further attacks makes people anxious and fearful. Crisis communication aims to reduce this uncertainty by providing clear and precise explanations of what is known, what is still being investigated, and how the public should respond. By limiting the room for uncertainty, it can minimize unnecessary fear and create a greater sense of security. Third, crisis communication is necessary to coordinate responses. In dealing with terrorism, a coordinated response among various law enforcement agencies, local governments, health organizations, and other bodies is crucial. Effective communication ensures that all parties have the same information and understand their roles and responsibilities in the crisis situation, optimizing mitigation and recovery efforts.

Fourth, the importance of maintaining public trust cannot be overlooked. In a crisis situation, public trust in the government and authority institutions becomes very vulnerable. Effective and transparent communication can help maintain or even enhance this trust. Demonstrating that the government is competent in handling the situation and open in its communications is vital to maintaining public support during and after the crisis. Fifth, combating terrorist propaganda is another aspect of crisis communication. Terrorists often use media and technology to spread fear, recruit members, and influence public opinion. Effective crisis communication must counteract this narrative by providing balanced and

factual information that dismantles the claims and narratives built by terror groups (Mahon, 2021). Lastly, supporting solidarity and community resilience is also a crucial goal of crisis communication. In facing terrorism, fostering a sense of unity and mutual support among community members can reduce the psychological impact of terror, strengthen social resilience, and counteract the divisive effects often desired by terrorists.

Table: Crisis communication challenges in responding to the threat of terrorism

NO	Challenges	How to Address Them
1	Rapid and potentially inaccurate dissemination of information	Establish a central command for information to regularly provide updates that are timely and accurate.
2	Public panic and mistrust	Utilize consistent and transparent narratives and provide detailed explanations of actions taken.
3	Rumors and misinformation	Be active on social media and other communication platforms to debunk rumors and provide verified information.
4	Diverse information needs from the public	Provide information in various formats (text, video, audio) and languages to ensure broad understanding.
5	Uncertainty about appropriate actions	Develop and share clear emergency action protocols for the public, including what to do during and after an incident.
6	Information fatigue	Provide concise and easily digestible information to avoid overwhelming the public with too much data.
7	Disputes among community groups	Promote messages that emphasize unity and cooperation and involve community leaders as message ambassadors.
8	Delays in official responses	Improve response speed by using advanced communication technologies and regular training for crisis response teams.
9	Use of social media by terrorists	Develop effective counter-narrative strategies and collaborate with social media platforms to identify and remove extremist content.
10	Limited access in emergency situations	Prepare various emergency communication channels, such as emergency hotlines, emergency radios, and early warning systems accessible by all layers of society.

This table effectively captures the core challenges in crisis communication specifically tailored to the context of terrorism threats and provides strategic solutions to address each challenge comprehensively.

The Challenge of Crisis Communication in Responding to Terrorism Threats is a complex issue that requires a holistic approach within the context of global security (Herovic et al., 2020). Terrorism crises have become a serious threat to public security in various parts of the world. An appropriate and effective response to terrorism threats requires not only robust military and security strength but also smart communication strategies that

are sensitive to the social and psychological dynamics of the community. One of the main challenges in crisis communication related to terrorism is managing the fear and anxiety that emerge among the public. Terrorism threats often create an atmosphere of fear that can influence the mindset and actions of individuals and groups. Effective crisis communication must be able to address this fear by providing accurate, transparent, and trustworthy information. Moreover, the messages delivered must be able to reduce fear without neglecting the awareness of existing risks.

In addition, in responding to terrorism threats, crisis communication must also be able to build solidarity and togetherness among the community. Terrorism often aims to divide and create rifts within society. Therefore, crisis communication messages must be able to rally support and cross-sectoral and community-wide cooperation to face the threat together. Solidarity built through crisis communication can form a strong foundation for strengthening community resilience against terrorism threats. Furthermore, the importance of coordination and collaboration among various related parties in crisis communication also poses a challenge that needs to be addressed. In responding to terrorism threats, various governmental and non-governmental agencies are involved in prevention, mitigation, and recovery efforts (Ivančík & Nečas, 2020). Good coordination among these institutions in delivering information and coordinating actions is key in effectively facing terrorism crises.

Additionally, mass media plays a crucial role in crisis communication related to terrorism (Joy-Thomas et al., 2021). Media has the power to shape public opinion, spread information, and influence the attitudes and behaviors of the community. Therefore, in responding to terrorism threats, a good relationship between the government, security institutions, and mass media is essential. Open, honest, and collaborative communication between the government and mass media can help avoid the spread of inaccurate or sensational information that could worsen the crisis situation. Besides the challenges in managing fear, building solidarity, interagency coordination, and cooperation with mass media, crisis communication related to terrorism also faces the complexity of political and cultural contexts. Each country has different political and cultural dynamics, which can influence the strategy and effectiveness of crisis communication. Therefore, understanding the local and cultural context is key to designing and implementing appropriate and effective crisis communication strategies.

It is important to consider the psychological aspects of crisis communication related to terrorism (Clemmow et al., 2020). Terrorism threats often create deep fear in the community, which can influence the decisions and actions of individuals. Crisis communication must be able to manage the emotions and anxieties that arise by providing clear, accurate information and giving proper guidance. Communication messages should be able to provide a sense of security and confidence to the public that the government and related institutions can effectively handle the threat. In addition, the challenge of facing terrorism threats also involves the complexity of understanding the motivations and propaganda used by terrorist groups. Crisis communication must be able to respond to the narratives built by terrorist groups by exposing lies, offering better alternatives, and rallying support from the community to reject the ideology of terrorism. This requires a deep

understanding of the ideological, cultural, and social dynamics underlying terrorism.

Furthermore, in facing the challenges of crisis communication related to terrorism, it is important to avoid polarization and social conflict that could exacerbate the crisis situation. Crisis communication must be able to build bridges between various groups and communities, promoting values of tolerance, dialogue, and peace. Solidarity built through crisis communication can form a strong foundation for strengthening social resilience and reducing the potential for radicalization. Interagency collaboration, international cooperation, and active community participation are key in addressing these challenges. Effective crisis communication requires synergy between the government, security institutions, mass media, civil society organizations, and the general public. This collaboration can strengthen collective resilience in facing terrorism threats, reinforce social solidarity, and build a community resilient against terrorism propaganda. By facing the challenges of crisis communication related to terrorism with smart, adaptive, and collaborative strategies, it is hoped that a safer, more tolerant, and peaceful environment can be created amid an increasingly complex and dynamic global society. Crisis communication is not just about conveying information, but also about building closeness, trust, and solidarity in facing shared challenges.

CONCLUSIONS

In facing the threat of terrorism, crisis communication becomes a crucial fulcrum that determines the effectiveness of security responses and the emotional stability of the community. This article underscores the importance of good communication strategies in overcoming fear and strengthening solidarity among the public. One of the primary challenges in crisis communication is the rapid spread of information that may not always be accurate, which can exacerbate panic and public mistrust. Therefore, the role of the government and related institutions in providing prompt, accurate, and transparent information cannot be overlooked. The recommended strategies include the use of proven communication channels, regular training for involved personnel, and partnerships with the media to disseminate messages that support public policy and the handling of terrorism. Furthermore, constructing a narrative that promotes unity and national resilience is crucial to counter terrorist propaganda aimed at dividing the community. Empowering local communities is also key in detecting and averting potential threats and strengthening social networks that can actively participate in crisis situations. The involvement of the community in discussions and simulations of terrorist scenarios is necessary to enhance their awareness and preparedness for actual situations. Ongoing education and training on how to face threats and respond constructively are essential so that the public does not remain passive objects but becomes active participants in maintaining collective security. Through a comprehensive and collaborative approach, crisis communication not only successfully addresses the challenges in responding to terrorist threats but also strengthens the social foundation and public trust in state institutions. This is important not only for addressing the current crisis but also for building community resilience

against future threats.

REFERENCES

- Ali, S. H., Foreman, J., Capasso, A., Jones, A. M., Tozan, Y., & DiClemente, R. J. (2020). Social media as a recruitment platform for a nationwide online survey of COVID-19 knowledge, beliefs, and practices in the United States: Methodology and feasibility analysis. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 20(1), 116. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-020-01011-0>
- Arora, S. (2022). Post-disaster communities on social media: Citizen participation in crisis communication after the Nepal earthquake, 2015. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 50(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2021.1964572>
- Ashby-King, D. T., & Aragón, A. N. (2022). Reinforcing and challenging whiteness through crisis communication: Proposing a discourse of community repair. *Public Relations Review*, 48(1), 102139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2021.102139>
- Baniya, S. (2019). Comparative study of networked communities, crisis communication, and technology: Rhetoric of disaster in Nepal earthquake and hurricane Maria. *Proceedings of the 37th ACM International Conference on the Design of Communication*, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3328020.3353913>
- Chen, S.-L., Hsu, H.-T., & Chinomona, R. (2023). How Tourists' Perceived Risk Affects Behavioral Intention through Crisis Communication in the Post-COVID-19 Era. *Mathematics*, 11(4), 860. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11040860>
- Clemmow, C., Bouhana, N., & Gill, P. (2020). Analyzing person-exposure patterns in lone-actor terrorism: Implications for threat assessment and intelligence gathering. *Criminology & Public Policy*, 19(2), 451–482. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1745-9133.12466>
- Corrado, R., Wössner, G., & Merari, A. (2021). Introductory Chapter: Berlin and Vancouver Research Workshops on Politically-Motivated Terrorism Risk/Threat Instruments and Related Policy Implementation Themes. In R. Corrado, G. Wössner, & A. Merari (Eds.), *NATO Science for Peace and Security Series – E: Human and Societal Dynamics*. IOS Press. <https://doi.org/10.3233/NHSDP210002>
- Domschat, L., Stephens, M., & Saeed, H. (2023). Communication to protect brand image during a terrorism crisis: Application of situational crisis communication theory. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 31(4), 913–927. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5973.12489>
- Edwards, A. L., Sellnow, T. L., Sellnow, D. D., Iverson, J., Parrish, A., & Dritz, S. (2021). Communities of practice as purveyors of instructional communication during crises. *Communication Education*, 70(1), 49–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634523.2020.1802053>
- Fama, M. E., Hatfield, B., Coyle, S., Richman, M. S., & Georgeadis, A. C. (2021). The Impact of the COVID-19 Public Health Crisis on Communication and Quality of Life: Insights From a Community of Stroke and Brain Trauma Survivors.

- American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 30(4), 1805–1818. https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_AJSLP-20-00297
- Herovic, E., Sellnow, T. L., & Sellnow, D. D. (2020). Challenges and opportunities for pre-crisis emergency risk communication: Lessons learned from the earthquake community. *Journal of Risk Research*, 23(3), 349–364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13669877.2019.1569097>
- Huang, M., Ki, E.-J., & Gong, H. (2023). Linking Community OPR and Communication Infrastructure During a Public Health Crisis: A Study of Community Engagement in Shanghai, China. *Health Communication*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2023.2251747>
- Ivančik, R., & Nečas, P. (2020). Air Transport Terrorism: One of the most Feared Types of Asymmetric Security Threat. *INCAS BULLETIN*, 12(4), 227–239. <https://doi.org/10.13111/2066-8201.2020.12.4.21>
- Joy-Thomas, A. R., Jeter, C. B., & Hansen-Kiss, E. (2021). Communication, coaching, and connection: The 3Cs for community cohesion during a crisis. *Journal of Dental Education*, 85(S1), 1066–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jdd.12299>
- Katsikopoulos, P. V. (2021). Individual and community resilience in natural disaster risks and pandemics (covid-19): Risk and crisis communication. *Mind & Society*, 20(1), 113–118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11299-020-00254-0>
- Kimotho, S. G., & Nyarango, C. N. (2021). Role of Social Media in Terrorism Crisis Communication: A Case of Westgate Mall Terror Attack in Nairobi. In I. R. Management Association (Ed.), *Research Anthology on Fake News, Political Warfare, and Combatting the Spread of Misinformation* (pp. 155–174). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7291-7.ch010>
- Lehr, P. (2019). Introduction: Terrorism as a Threat to Open Societies. In P. Lehr, *Counter-Terrorism Technologies* (pp. 1–17). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90924-0_1
- Longmuir, F. (2023). Leading in lockdown: Community, communication and compassion in response to the COVID-19 crisis. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 51(5), 1014–1030. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432211027634>
- Mahon, A. (2021). A pivotal event narrative in critical terrorism studies: COVID-19 and the threat of terrorism. *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, 14(4), 459–462. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17539153.2021.1982463>
- McIntosh, C. (2022). A ‘continuing, imminent’ threat: The temporal frameworks enabling the US war on terrorism. *International Relations*, 36(4), 568–590. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00471178221128196>
- Nadareishvili, I., Bazas, T., Petrosillo, N., Berce, V., Firth, J., Mansilha, A., Leventer, M., Renieri, A., Zampolini, M., & Papalois, V. (2023). The Medical Community’s Role in Communication Strategies during Health Crises—Perspective from European Union of Medical Specialists (UEMS). *Infectious Disease Reports*, 15(4), 370–376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/idr15040037>
- Oltra González, I., Camarero, C., & San José Cabezado, R. (2021). SOS to my followers! The role of marketing communications in reinforcing online travel community value during times of crisis. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 39, 100843. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2021.100843>

- Qi, L. (2023). Translation as crisis (mis)communication for culturally and linguistically diverse communities: The case of Australia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Translation Spaces*, 12(1), 97–123. <https://doi.org/10.1075/ts.21044.qi>
- Selvaraj, M., & Kuppaswamy, S. (2019). Community radio and crisis communication: A study on the reach and effectiveness of *Peridar Kaala Vaanoli* 107.8 MHz. *Media Asia*, 46(3–4), 102–116. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01296612.2020.1781744>
- Shipway, R. (2024). New era, new normal, new challenges: Managing crises and disasters at major and mega sport events. In H. A. Solberg, R. K. Storm, & K. Swart (Eds.), *Research Handbook on Major Sporting Events* (pp. 579–590). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800885653.00056>
- Takamura, N., Orita, M., Taira, Y., Matsunaga, H., & Yamashita, S. (2021). Experiences of crisis communication during radiation emergency and risk communication for recovery of the community in Fukushima. *Journal of Radiation Research*, 62(Supplement_1), i95–i100. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rraa113>
- Tambo, E., Djuikoue, I. C., Tazemda, G. K., Fotsing, M. F., & Zhou, X.-N. (2021). Early stage risk communication and community engagement (RCCE) strategies and measures against the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic crisis. *Global Health Journal*, 5(1), 44–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glohj.2021.02.009>
- Teixeira, C. A., & Sebastiao, S. P. (2023). percepção das comunidades locais sobre a comunicação das empresas mineradoras em situações de crise e pós-crise: Os casos de Mariana e Brumadinho, no Brasil. *Estudios Sobre El Mensaje Periodístico*, 29(2), 369–381. <https://doi.org/10.5209/esmp.87187>
- Tous-Rovirosa, A., & Dergacheva, D. (2021). #EsteVirusloParamosUnidos: War-like political communication on Twitter. Creating homogeneous communities in the Covid-19 crisis. *Estudios Sobre El Mensaje Periodístico*, 27(4), 1227–1241. <https://doi.org/10.5209/esmp.75758>
- Washington, R., Weis, J. A., Monger, M., Burse, N., Melvin, S. C., Omondi, A. A., Lohr, A. M., Njeru, J. W., Compretta, C. E., Sia, I. G., & Wieland, M. L. (2023). Adaptation of a bidirectional crisis and emergency risk communication framework by community-engaged research partnerships in rural Mississippi during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, 7(1), e79. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2023.15>
- Wheeler, S., Ateva, E., Churchill, R., Pleuss, E., McCallon, B., Storey, A., Akram, M. I., Carpio, M. T., Pillay, Y., & Fasawe, O. (2022). Short communication: The global health community needs to start planning for the impact of the climate crisis on maternal and newborn health. *The Journal of Climate Change and Health*, 6, 100131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2022.100131>
- Wieland, M. L., Asiedu, G. B., Lantz, K., Abbenyi, A., Njeru, J. W., Osman, A., Goodson, M., Ahmed, Y., Molina, L. E., Doubeni, C. A., Sia, I. G., & for the Rochester Healthy Community Partnership COVID-19 Task Force. (2021). Leveraging community engaged research partnerships for crisis and emergency risk communication to vulnerable populations in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, 5(1), e6. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2020.47>
- Wieland, M. L., Asiedu, G. B., Njeru, J. W., Weis, J. A., Lantz, K., Abbenyi, A., Molina, L., Ahmed, Y., Osman, A., Goodson, M., Torres-Herbeck, G., Nur, O.,

- Porraz Capetillo, G., Mohamed, A. A., & Sia, I. G. (2022). Community-Engaged Bidirectional Crisis and Emergency Risk Communication With Immigrant and Refugee Populations During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Public Health Reports*, 137(2), 352–361. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00333549211065514>
- Wodak, R. (2021). Crisis communication and crisis management during COVID-19. *Global Discourse*, 11(3), 329–353. <https://doi.org/10.1332/204378921X16100431230102>
- Zaman, M. M. U. (2023). A Framework for Assessing Nuclear Terrorism Threats in Bangladesh. *Peace Review*, 35(2), 391–399. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10402659.2023.2185509>
-

Copyright Holder :

© Sumadi. (2024).

First Publication Right :

© Journal International Dakwah and Communication

This article is under:

