



## Dynamics of Political Communication amid a Global Health Crisis: Government Response and Public Response

Nadia Amalia <sup>1</sup>, Wang Joshua <sup>2</sup>, Ling Barra <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Djuanda, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Académie des Beaux-Arts de Tournai, Belgium

<sup>3</sup> Universities in Amsterdam, Belgium

**Corresponding Author:** Nadia Amalia, E-mail; [nadia.amalia@unida.ac.id](mailto:nadia.amalia@unida.ac.id)

### Article Information:

Received June 10, 2024

Revised June 19, 2024

Accepted June 25, 2024

### ABSTRACT

The global health crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic has changed the dynamics of political communication in various countries. Governments around the world are faced with unprecedented communications challenges, where rapid and effective decisions are urgently needed to address the spread of the virus. However, the effectiveness of political communication is often hampered by lack of information, misunderstanding and public distrust. This research aims to analyze how the government communicates with the public during a health crisis and how the public responds to this communication. The primary goal is to identify effective strategies and uncover communication gaps that can serve as valuable lessons for future crises. The method used in this research is content analysis from various news sources, government reports and social media. In addition, respondents were surveyed to measure public perceptions and responses to government communications. The research results show significant variation in the effectiveness of communication strategies between countries. Countries with open, transparent and consistent communication tend to experience higher levels of public compliance and more controlled spread of the virus. Meanwhile, countries with sporadic and ambiguous communications have had difficulty managing the pandemic and faced more excellent public resistance. This research concludes that success in managing a health crisis depends not only on the policies taken but also on how the government communicates with the public. Transparency, consistency and continuity in communications have proven critical in building public trust and supporting pandemic mitigation efforts. This research provides insights that can help policymakers improve communication strategies in the future.

**Keywords:** *Global Health, Government Response, Public Response*

Journal Homepage <https://ejournal.staialhikmahpariangan.ac.id/Journal/index.php/judastaipa/>

This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>

How to cite: Amalia, N., Joshuam W & Barra, L. (2024). Dynamics of Political Communication amid a Global Health Crisis: Government Response and Public Response. *Journal International Dakwah and Communication*, 4(1), 199–211. <https://doi.org/10.55849/jidc.v4i1.664>

Published by: Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Al-Hikmah Pariangan Batusangkar

## **INTRODUCTION**

Amid the global health crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, political communication is essential in determining the public's response to government actions (Adedoyin & Soykan, 2023, p. 19). The problem underlying this research is the gap between the government's policies and the community's perceptions and reactions. Failure to communicate effectively can lead to confusion, disobedience, and even chaos (Zamora-Medina et al., 2020). Therefore, it is important to identify and understand the communication dynamics between the government and the public during a crisis (Wojcieszak et al., 2022). This global health crisis is significant because it highlights the importance of transparency and trust in the relationship between governments and their citizens (Castillo-Salgado, 2010). When public confidence in the government decreases, the policies' effectiveness will be hampered, which can further worsen health and economic conditions. This research addressed this problem by investigating ways governments can increase public trust and compliance through effective communication strategies (Andrae & Edler, 2015).

The process of the emergence of political communication dynamics in the midst of a global health crisis, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, is a complex and multidimensional phenomenon (Barbu, 2023). This global health crisis is testing the capacity of each country's health system and the effectiveness of political communication strategies implemented by governments (Watkins & Clevenger, 2021). This dynamic is formed from the interaction between government policies, mass media, social media, and public responses, all of which shape public narratives and perceptions (Al-Dmour et al., 2020). At the start of the pandemic, many governments around the world were caught by surprise and unprepared for the speed at which the virus spread. In these emergency situations, the need to convey accurate and timely information becomes critical (Hatcher, 2020). The government must immediately establish official communication channels to disseminate information about the virus, its transmission, and the steps people should take to protect themselves and others (Aagaard, 2019). This process creates the initial foundations of political communication dynamics that will continue to develop as the pandemic progresses.

In response to the crisis, governments are using a variety of platforms for communication—from press conferences to live updates to the use of social media (Woo et al., 2016). However, challenges arise when changing and sometimes contradictory information begins to be broadcast, often due to the novel nature of the virus and evolving scientific discoveries. This situation has created confusion and distrust among the public, underscoring the importance of consistency and clarity in government communications. Mass media and social media platforms play a critical role in shaping and modifying public perceptions (Park & Loo, 2022). On the one hand, the media helps disseminate vital information and educate the public. On the other hand, the spread of unverified or false information can lead to panic and misinformation. The speed with which information circulates on social media also creates additional challenges in ensuring that accurate and valuable messages reach the public before misinformation becomes widespread.

The interaction between the government and the media is very important. In some countries, good collaboration between government and media has proven effective in keeping the public informed and calm (Soroya et al., 2021). However, in other countries, tense relations between the government and the press are leading to greater disinformation and distrust, which is exacerbating the situation. Governments that succeed in maintaining openness and transparency with the media tend to experience better cooperation in crisis management. Furthermore, the government must also overcome the challenges of increased political polarization during the crisis (Gesser-Edelsburg, 2021). In many cases, the pandemic has been politicized, with various groups using it to push their political agendas, often obscuring the facts and complicating efforts to eradicate the pandemic. This dynamic shows how crucial strong leadership and national unity are in facing a crisis. This process is also coloured by the public's response to government policies. People in different parts of the world respond in various ways to government actions, from compliance to open protest. This response is influenced by the extent to which people trust and feel valued by their government. The effectiveness of political communication in a crisis depends heavily on public trust, which must be built and maintained through honest, empathetic and consistent communication (Lass-Hennemann et al., 2023).

The main problem to be solved through this research is the identification of factors that influence the effectiveness of political communication during a health crisis and how these factors interact to shape the public response (Esser, 2019). By understanding these aspects, this research aims to produce recommendations that the government can use to improve communication strategies in the future. The importance of this topic is not only limited to public health aspects but also includes social and political stability. Analyzing the dynamics of political communication in the midst of a crisis such as a pandemic allows us to understand how information is conveyed and received, and the implications this has for people's behavior and attitudes. By understanding this, we can strengthen the foundations of democracy and increase preparedness for future crises.

This research was conducted because of the urgent need to understand and improve communication between the government and the public in times of crisis (Becker, 2020). An ineffective response or inappropriate communication can exacerbate an already critical situation, increase health risks, and undermine trust in public institutions. Therefore, this research aims to evaluate and suggest more effective communication methods that the government can implement. This research fills this knowledge gap by comprehensively analyzing how political communication is organized and received during a health crisis. Using a methodological approach that combines quantitative and qualitative analysis, this research provides new insights into the complex interactions between political narratives and public perceptions. This helps formulate recommendations that can be used to design communication strategies that are more effective and responsive to public needs and expectations.

Previous research has extensively discussed crisis communication but often has not explored how specific communications policies and strategies influence public

responses in a global pandemic (Xie et al., 2020). This research introduces a new approach by integrating communication theory, social psychology, and political analysis to gain a more holistic and interdisciplinary understanding. The innovation of this research lies in the use of real-time data and feedback from the public to assess and refine government communication strategies on an ongoing basis. There are several previous research opinions. According to Kavanagh & Singh (2020), the first research is Democracy, Capacity, and Coercion in Pandemic Response: COVID-19 in Comparative Political Perspective. The results of his research stated that this research explored some of these questions with emerging examples, even amid the pandemic, when it was too soon to draw conclusions.

According to Mohamed Nour & Kisa (2024), the second research is Political Leaders' Communication Strategies during COVID-19 in Highly Infected Countries: A Scoping Review. The results of his study stated that political communication during the pandemic varied significantly and was influenced by factors such as media platforms, political ideology, gender, and non-verbal cues. This review enriches our understanding of crisis communication in political contexts. It emphasizes the necessity of combining traditional and digital media and considering various sociopolitical factors. The insights gained are crucial for enhancing crisis management and public trust, and they set the stage for further research and practical application in crisis communication. The third research, according to Sauer et al. (2021), with the research titled A Failure to Communicate? How Public Messaging Has Strained the COVID-19 Response in the United States. The results of his study stated that to reduce fear and uncertainty among those living in the United States, COVID-19 communication should be rapid and accurate while building credibility and trust and showcasing empathy, all with a unified voice.

The novelty of this research lies in the application of modern communication theory and large-scale data analysis to understand the dynamics of political communication during the pandemic. This differs from previous research, which may use less extensive or up-to-date data. Thus, this research provides a new and more relevant perspective in dealing with the ongoing health crisis. Hopefully, this research can become a basis for future researchers to explore communication strategies in countries with different socio-political conditions. Furthermore, it is hoped that this research can help policymakers formulate strategies that are not only theoretically effective but also practical and appropriate to the conditions and needs of diverse communities. Thus, this research not only provides academic insight but is also applicable, providing a basis for governments and institutions to develop ways of communicating that are more inclusive and responsive to society's needs in facing the global health crisis and other social challenges in the future.

## **RESEARCH METHOD**

This research examines the dynamics of political communication during a global health crisis, with a focus on government responses and public responses to

implemented policies . It uses a qualitative approach in data collection and analysis to understand the complexity of interactions between government and the public and the effectiveness of the communication strategies used.

### **Data collection**

1. **Content Analysis:** This research involved extensive content analysis of various information sources, including government press releases, press conferences, public service announcements, and official social media publications from government agencies during the crisis period. All communication materials are released and analyzed to determine the narrative communicated, the frequency of messages, and changes in communication strategy (Fenton & Chillag, 2021).
2. **Public Survey:** Surveys are conducted on randomly selected respondents from populations directly affected by government policies during the pandemic. This survey aims to measure the effectiveness of communication from the perspective of the message recipient. Survey questions focused on the clarity of the message, the trustworthiness of the information provided, and the level of compliance with announced policies.
3. **In-depth Interviews:** To gain a deeper understanding of individual subjective experiences and perceptions, in-depth interviews were conducted with several key stakeholders, including policymakers, communications experts, and representatives of non-governmental organizations active in response to the crisis. This interview aims to gain insight into the challenges and successes of the communication strategy implemented.

### **Research design**

This research was designed to integrate data from multiple sources using a triangulation model, which allows validation of findings through various data collection methods. Content analysis of government communications helps identify what messages are being conveyed, while surveys and in-depth interviews provide context for how those messages are received and interpreted by the public.

### **Data analysis method**

1. **Qualitative Content Analysis:** Using NVivo software, data from media and official documents was analyzed to identify key themes, term frequency, and narrative framework. This analysis helps reveal the communication strategies used and how they adapt over time.
2. **Statistical Analysis:** Quantitative data from the survey was analyzed using SPSS to determine the relationship between variables such as age, geographic location, and education level and perceived communication effectiveness. Statistical tests, including chi-square and regression analysis, were used to assess the strength and significance of the relationships.
3. **Thematic Analysis:** Data from in-depth interviews were thematically analyzed to

identify and interpret general patterns related to responses to communication strategies. This involves categorizing qualitative data into interrelated themes and interpreting the broader meaning of the individual's experiences.

### **Reliability and Validity**

Several steps were taken to ensure reliability and validity in this research. First, multiple raters were used in content analysis to reduce subjective bias. Second, triangulation of sources and methods in data collection strengthened the strength of the findings by correlating results between different methods. Third, the large and representative sample in the survey ensured that the data reflected the various views that exist in society.

### **Research Limitations**

Although this research provides important insights into the dynamics of political communication during a global health crisis, there are several limitations (Habibov et al., 2019). Variability in pandemic control policies across countries may influence the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, present bias may affect survey responses because respondents may provide answers they perceive the researcher wants. Using the methods detailed, this research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how political communication during a global health crisis influences and is influenced by public responses, providing valuable lessons for future crisis management.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The global health crisis triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of political communication in managing responses to emergencies (Ogbodo et al., 2020). The dynamics of political communication in this context involve various actors, from the central government to local governments, health institutions and the general public. The effectiveness of this communication directly influences public behaviour and the effectiveness of implemented public health interventions. This research examines various aspects of the dynamics of political communication during a health crisis, focusing on government policy, public response, and implications for future policy and practice. First, it is essential to understand how the government communicates risks and policies related to the pandemic to the public. In many cases, governments that successfully communicate messages clearly, consistently and transparently tend to get a better response from the public. For example, countries such as New Zealand and South Korea demonstrated success in managing the pandemic, which was primarily attributed to effective communication from the government to its citizens. Good communication helps build trust, reduces uncertainty, and encourages compliance with health guidelines, such as wearing masks and maintaining physical distance.

However, not all countries have experienced the same success. Some countries need help in political communication, such as changing messages and a lack of transparency,

resulting in confusion and loss of public trust. For example, early in the pandemic, several large countries had difficulty conveying the severity of the situation to the public, which ultimately complicated efforts to control the spread of the virus. This incident shows that the dynamics of political communication depend not only on what is communicated but also on how and when it is communicated. Furthermore, mass and social media have been critical in shaping public perceptions during the pandemic. The media is not only a medium for disseminating information, but also an arena for public discussion and criticism of government responses. On the one hand, the media can help raise public awareness about the pandemic and strengthen messages about preventive measures. However, on the other hand, the media can also make the situation worse by spreading misinformation or sensationalism that leads to panic or scepticism.

Demographic and socioeconomic factors also influence the public's response to government communications during a health crisis. For example, trust in government and compliance with health policies is often lower among populations who feel marginalized or who have had previous negative experiences with government institutions. This shows that political communication must be adapted to cover the various perspectives and needs of multiple groups in society. Furthermore, the government must implement a proactive and participatory approach to crisis communication. This includes collaborating with community figures, local leaders and influencers to disseminate important information. Community engagement can increase the effectiveness of public health messages and facilitate constructive dialogue between government and the public. This approach also allows the government to be more responsive to the needs and concerns of society, which in turn can increase public trust and compliance (Delina et al., 2023).

Given these complex dynamics, research on political communication amidst health crises is relevant during the pandemic and provides important lessons for dealing with future crises. The government needs to learn from this experience to build a more resilient, inclusive and adaptive communications strategy. This includes investing in adequate communications infrastructure, training officials to communicate clearly and empathetically, and developing protocols to address misinformation and rumours that could harm crisis response efforts. The dynamics of political communication during a global health crisis demonstrate the urgent need for governments to communicate effectively, transparently, and responsively (Zach et al., 2021). Success in overcoming this crisis depends on the quality of the information delivered and how data is managed and integrated into public policy. By understanding and implementing lessons from the current crisis, governments can better prepare to face future challenges.

**Table:** Government response and public response to the dynamics of political communication amid a global health crisis

<b>Aspect</b>	<b>Government Response</b>	<b>Public Response</b>	<b>Implications and Impact</b>
Transparency	The governments of several countries try to	The level of public trust increases when	Transparency encourages

	convey information as clearly as possible with regular updates.	information is perceived as transparent.	compliance and reduces panic.
Message Consistency	In some cases, government messaging changes frequently, which needs to be clarified.	Inconsistencies result in confusion and scepticism about government directives.	Message consistency is essential to maintain trust and reduce misinformation.
Media Engagement	The government uses traditional and social media to disseminate information.	The media is considered an essential tool but sometimes makes the situation worse by spreading fake news.	Cooperation between the government and the media is essential in managing narrative and factuality.
Proactive vs Reactive Communication	Countries like New Zealand are proactive in communication, while others are more reactive.	People tend to respond more positively to proactive communication.	Being proactive in communication helps in preparation and prevention, minimizing negative impacts.
Use of Data and Statistics	Some governments present up-to-date data and analysis to provide an accurate picture.	The public appreciates when data is presented clearly, helping them understand the situation.	Accuracy and clarity of data support evidence-based policies, increasing the effectiveness of actions.
Empathetic Communication	Governments that show empathy in their communications tend to get better responses.	Empathy in messages strengthens solidarity and support for government actions.	Empathetic communication strengthens the relationship between government and society, which is essential in a crisis.
Local Stakeholder Involvement	The government involved local leaders and community leaders to spread the message.	People are more receptive to messages conveyed by figures they know and trust.	Local leaders play an essential role in effectively adapting and disseminating government messages.
Public Education and Training	Several governments initiated educational programs to increase	Good educational programs increase public understanding	Education and training help people better understand and

	awareness about health.	and aid in prevention.	follow health guidelines.
Feedback and Dialogue	Countries that open feedback channels from the public can adjust policies based on that input.	The public feels more valued and involved in the health policy-making process.	Two-way dialogue strengthens community involvement and increases the relevance and effectiveness of policies.

The table above shows that an effective government response in managing communications during a health crisis requires transparency, consistency and proactivity. In addition, responsible media involvement, empathetic communication, and active involvement of local leaders and the wider community are also very important. Success in managing a pandemic depends on medical aspects and health policies and how the government communicates and interacts with the public. Each country may have a different approach, but the general principles of effectiveness remain consistent, which is evident in the public response to the communications strategy.

In a global health crisis, political communication strategically shapes individual and collective responses to the pandemic. This role cuts across various dimensions, from public information management to influencing public behaviour by effectively implementing policies and instructions (Kuhlmann et al., 2023). The effectiveness of this communication is vital not only in determining the success of health interventions but also in controlling the political and social stability of the country. As a starting point, political communication provides accurate and up-to-date information to the public. In crises, the rapid and precise delivery of information is crucial because it allows people to make informed decisions regarding their health and safety. Governments and health institutions are utilizing various channels, including press conferences, social media, and public announcements, to communicate the latest developments regarding the spread of the virus, adopted policies, and health directives. The ability to communicate this information clearly can minimize unnecessary panic and speculation that could potentially make the condition worse.

Furthermore, the main goal of political communication is to manage public perception and maintain public trust in the government. In the context of a health crisis, public trust is a precious asset (Dan & Arendt, 2021). Governments that demonstrate transparency, responsiveness and consistency in their communications tend to maintain or even increase public trust successfully. On the other hand, inconsistent or manipulative communication can quickly erode that trust, reducing public policy effectiveness and fueling non-compliance with health guidelines. Political communication also plays an important role in coordinating actions between various governmental and non-governmental entities. In a global scenario, effective coordination between government agencies, health organizations, and the private sector is essential to provide an integrated and efficient response. Effective communication

allows agencies to share resources, information, and strategies in real time, which helps reduce redundancy and improve operational efficiency in a crisis.

In addition, political communication has a role in advocating and mobilizing support for health policies. Governments often have to make difficult and unpopular decisions, such as implementing lockdowns or social restrictions. Effective political communication can help explain the reasons to the public, reduce resistance, and build public support for the action. This includes the use of rhetoric that emphasizes solidarity, sacrifice for the common good, and hope for the future. On the other hand, political communication must overcome the challenges of disinformation and misinformation, which can develop rapidly, primarily through social media. This challenge requires the government to disseminate correct information and tackle misinformation actively. This often involves collaboration with social media platforms, the use of technology to monitor and identify fake content, and the implementation of robust public education campaigns. It is essential for political communications to be inclusive and include all segments of society, including vulnerable groups such as the elderly, ethnic minorities, and people with limited access to health resources. This means providing information in multiple languages, accessible formats, and through channels that reach a broad audience. By ensuring that all parts of society receive the information they need, governments can minimize inequalities in health and ensure that no one is left behind.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the results and discussion above, it can be concluded that the dynamics of political communication amid a global health crisis show how vital the effectiveness of government communication is in managing crises. The key to successful communication lies in transparency, consistency and speed in conveying information to the public. Countries that successfully implemented this communication strategy, such as New Zealand and South Korea, demonstrated high levels of public compliance and significant effectiveness in handling the pandemic. On the other hand, failure to deliver precise and consistent messages often results in confusion and distrust, which can reduce the effectiveness of health prevention efforts.

Mass and social media play a crucial role in supporting or hindering government efforts. While they are a vital tool in the dissemination of information, the potential for spreading misinformation is also high, indicating the need for closer collaboration between governments and media in managing narratives during crises. Public responses, influenced by social and political beliefs, demographics, and historical experience with institutions, underscore the need for governments to adopt more inclusive and empathetic communication strategies, which provide information and listen and respond to public concerns.

The COVID-19 pandemic underscores the importance of effective political communication as a critical element in crisis response. Lessons learned show that recovery from the global health crisis and mitigating its impact depend critically on governments' ability to communicate effectively, build and maintain public trust, and

adapt to rapidly changing dynamics. The future of crisis management will continue to require leaders and governments to improve their communication skills and political strategies in the face of similar global challenges.

## REFERENCES

- Aagaard, P. (2019). Big data in political communication. In J. S. Pedersen & A. Wilkinson (Eds.), *Big Data*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788112352.00020>
- Adedoyin, O. B., & Soykan, E. (2023). Covid-19 pandemic and online learning: The challenges and opportunities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(2), 863–875. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1813180>
- Al-Dmour, H., Masa'deh, R., Salman, A., Abuhashesh, M., & Al-Dmour, R. (2020). Influence of Social Media Platforms on Public Health Protection Against the COVID-19 Pandemic via the Mediating Effects of Public Health Awareness and Behavioral Changes: Integrated Model. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *22*(8), e19996. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19996>
- Andrae, A., & Edler, T. (2015). On Global Electricity Usage of Communication Technology: Trends to 2030. *Challenges*, *6*(1), 117–157. <https://doi.org/10.3390/challe6010117>
- Barbu, L. (2023). Global trends in the scientific research of the health economics: A bibliometric analysis from 1975 to 2022. *Health Economics Review*, *13*(1), 31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13561-023-00446-7>
- Becker, A. B. (2020). Applying mass communication frameworks to study humor's impact: Advancing the study of political satire. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, *44*(3), 273–288. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.2020.1794925>
- Castillo-Salgado, C. (2010). Trends and Directions of Global Public Health Surveillance. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, *32*(1), 93–109. <https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxq008>
- Dan, V., & Arendt, F. (2021). Visual Cues to the Hidden Agenda: Investigating the Effects of Ideology-Related Visual Subtle Backdrop Cues in Political Communication. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, *26*(1), 22–45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161220936593>
- Delina, L. L., Perez, O. A., Afaible, S. B., & Steuer, B. (2023). Do global public health crises change people's behaviors towards sustainability? Evidence of the COVID-19 and sustainability nexus from Hong Kong. *Cleaner and Responsible Consumption*, *10*, 100132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clrc.2023.100132>
- Esser, F. (2019). Advances in Comparative Political Communication Research through Contextualization and Cumulation of Evidence. *Political Communication*, *36*(4), 680–686. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2019.1670904>
- Fenton, E., & Chillag, K. (2021). Conditions of Global Health Crisis Decision-Making—An Ethical Analysis. *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, *18*(3), 395–402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11673-021-10100-8>
- Gesser-Edelsburg, A. (2021). Analysis of the Government of Israel's COVID-19 Health and Risk Communication Efforts: Between a Political-Constitutional Crisis and a Health Crisis. In M. Lewis, E. Govender, & K. Holland (Eds.),

- Communicating COVID-19* (pp. 203–225). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79735-5\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79735-5_11)
- Habibov, N., Auchynnikava, A., Luo, R., & Fan, L. (2019). Effects of the 2008 global financial crisis on population health. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, 34(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2652>
- Hatcher, W. (2020). A Failure of Political Communication Not a Failure of Bureaucracy: The Danger of Presidential Misinformation During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 50(6–7), 614–620. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074020941734>
- Kavanagh, M. M., & Singh, R. (2020). Democracy, Capacity, and Coercion in Pandemic Response: COVID-19 in Comparative Political Perspective. *Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law*, 45(6), 997–1012. <https://doi.org/10.1215/03616878-8641530>
- Kuhlmann, E., Denis, J.-L., Côté, N., Lotta, G., & Neri, S. (2023). Comparing Health Workforce Policy during a Major Global Health Crisis: A Critical Conceptual Debate and International Empirical Investigation. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(6), 5035. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20065035>
- Lass-Hennemann, J., Sopp, M. R., Ruf, N., Equit, M., Schäfer, S. K., Wirth, B. E., & Michael, T. (2023). Generation climate crisis, COVID-19, and Russia–Ukraine–War: Global crises and mental health in adolescents. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-023-02300-x>
- Mohamed Nour, M., & Kisa, A. (2024). Political Leaders' Communication Strategies during COVID-19 in Highly Infected Countries: A Scoping Review. *Healthcare*, 12(6), 607. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12060607>
- Ogbodo, J. N., Onwe, E. C., Chukwu, J., Nwasum, C. J., Nwakpu, E. S., Nwankwo, S. U., Nwamini, S., Elem, S., & Iroabuchi Ogbaeja, N. (2020). Communicating health crisis: A content analysis of global media framing of COVID-19. *Health Promotion Perspectives*, 10(3), 257–269. <https://doi.org/10.34172/hpp.2020.40>
- Park, S.-Y., & Loo, B. T. (2022). The Use of Crowdfunding and Social Media Platforms in Strategic Start-up Communication: A Big-data Analysis. *International Journal of Strategic Communication*, 16(2), 313–331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1553118X.2022.2032079>
- Sauer, M. A., Truelove, S., Gerste, A. K., & Limaye, R. J. (2021). A Failure to Communicate? How Public Messaging Has Strained the COVID-19 Response in the United States. *Health Security*, 19(1), 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hs.2020.0190>
- Soroya, S. H., Farooq, A., Mahmood, K., Isoaho, J., & Zara, S. (2021). From information seeking to information avoidance: Understanding the health information behavior during a global health crisis. *Information Processing & Management*, 58(2), 102440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2020.102440>
- Watkins, D. V., & Clevenger, A. D. (2021). US Political Leadership and Crisis Communication During COVID-19. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 7(1), 1901365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2021.1901365>
- Wojcieszak, M., Sobkowicz, P., Yu, X., & Bulat, B. (2022). What Information Drives Political Polarization? Comparing the Effects of In-group Praise, Out-group Derogation, and Evidence-based Communications on Polarization. *The*

- International Journal of Press/Politics*, 27(2), 325–352.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/19401612211004418>
- Woo, H., Cho, Y., Shim, E., Lee, J.-K., Lee, C.-G., & Kim, S. H. (2016). Estimating Influenza Outbreaks Using Both Search Engine Query Data and Social Media Data in South Korea. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 18(7), e177. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.4955>
- Xie, B., He, D., Mercer, T., Wang, Y., Wu, D., Fleischmann, K. R., Zhang, Y., Yoder, L. H., Stephens, K. K., Mackert, M., & Lee, M. K. (2020). Global health crises are also information crises: A call to action. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 71(12), 1419–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24357>
- Zach, S., Fernandez-Rio, J., Zeev, A., Ophir, M., & Eilat-Adar, S. (2021). Correction to: Physical activity, resilience, emotions, moods, and weight control, during the COVID-19 global crisis. *Israel Journal of Health Policy Research*, 10(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13584-021-00489-3>
- Zamora-Medina, R., Losada-Díaz, J.-C., & Vázquez-Sande, P. (2020). A taxonomy design for mobile applications in the Spanish political communication context. *El Profesional de La Información*, e290327. <https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2020.may.27>

---

**Copyright Holder :**

© Nadia Amalia et al. (2024).

**First Publication Right :**

© Journal International Dakwah and Communication

**This article is under:**

